

10

Contested Territories and the Quest for Ethnology: People and Places in İzmir 1919–22

Georgios Agelopoulos

The Treaty of St Stefano (16 March 1878) and the Congress of Berlin (July 1878) following the Russo-Ottoman war of 1877–78 resulted in a complete reorganization of the Balkan peninsula, with Montenegro, Serbia and Romania gaining recognition as fully independent states.¹ Such developments no doubt strengthened the attempts of Balkan national movements to claim Ottoman territories and, to succeed, they had to organize their military and prepare their paramilitary forces. At the same time, they had to persuade their citizens, the Great Powers and the people living in the territories over which they had jurisdiction of their right to incorporate them into their nation-states. In Balkan academic circles, especially in the humanities and social sciences, this task sparked an interest in the national agenda in which historians, geographers, archaeologists, ethnologists, linguists, folklorists and demographers all played their part.

Ottoman regions with highly diverse populations became the focus of a great number of studies conducted by scholars from the Balkans as well as from western European institutions.² The end of the Balkan wars and the annexation of former Ottoman territories into the various nation-states changed the priorities of Balkan scholars. Academics and policy makers turned their attention to the problem of incorporating, socially, culturally, politically and economically, the former Ottoman territories into their nation-state structures. In other words, erstwhile Ottoman subjects had to adapt to a new national identity.

In the case of Greece, during the 1914–22 period (that is from the end of the Balkan wars until the Greek Army's withdrawal from İzmir),³ the annexation of the New Lands⁴ generated significant challenges and dilemmas for the state administration. The following

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

analysis indicates that the religious, cultural and linguistic diversity of the populations living in these areas reinforced practices and attitudes that, on the one hand, were linked to the national policy of Hellenization and to the irredentism underpinning it and, on the other hand, refer to a colonial type of administration. The situation in the New Lands demanded the proper scientific study of these populations (a) to ensure their integration into the Greek state, and (b) to allow a more productive use of their resources. Part of this process involved the attempt to develop ethnological studies and the academic discipline of ethnology.

In this chapter I focus on Greek researchers' ethnographic studies in Asia Minor and on Costantin Caratheodory's founding of the School of Ethnology at the University of Smyrna in the early 1920s.⁵ These projects, combined with other policies that Eleftherios Venizelos's Greek Liberal Party promoted in the first two decades of the twentieth century, demonstrate a distinctive form of political realism towards the non-Greek speaking and non-Greek Orthodox populations of the New Lands.⁶ In the eyes of its promoters, the attempt to incorporate these populations into the Greek state was not based on brutal assimilation but on humanistic endeavour.⁷ In this context, Hellenism was seen as a metaphor for Western modernity while any other competing identity was perceived as a premodern Oriental idiom.

On a broader theoretical level, the ethnographic studies that Greek researchers conducted in Asia Minor and the founding of the School of Ethnology at the University of Smyrna highlight the relationship between nation building and the need to develop a scientific study of otherness. It is worth noting that similar attempts to encourage the study of otherness appeared among Turkish national elites at about the same period. The establishment in Istanbul of the Türk Derneğ Society in December 1908 encouraged the study of 'history, languages, literature, ethnography and ethnology ... and the present culture of the Turks'.⁸ Ziya Gökalp, the founding father of modern sociology in Turkey, also stressed the importance of systematically researching folk cultures in his systematic theory of Turkish nationalism.⁹

The establishment in 1882 of the Greek Historical and Ethnological Society influenced the study of otherness among Greek scholars, which generated discussion on the academic discipline of ethnology. In the first volume of the journal *Laographia* (Folklore) published in 1909, Nikolaos Politis, a founding father of Greek folklore studies, discussed in detail the similarities and differences between ethnology and folklore. He concluded that ethnology studies 'peoples living in a state of nature' while folklore studies focus on peoples 'sharing the

same native land and its culture'. Thus, a division was established with respect to the approach to otherness. Ethnology undertook the study of the most distant others while folklore remained focused on Greeks.¹⁰ Greek folklorists' later writings¹¹ confirmed Politis's analytical distinction between a discipline studying distant otherness (ethnology) and a discipline of close similarity (folklore). This methodological distinction, as well as the close relationship between folklore studies and ethnology/ social anthropology, constitutes a common phenomenon in many European academic traditions of that era.¹² In Britain, for example, the development of the Royal Anthropological Institute was initially related to the Folklore Society and the British Association.¹³ However, as Herzfeld points out,¹⁴ the epistemological foundations of anthropological theory partly refer to the reasons that also contributed to the creation of romantic irredentist folklore studies in the nineteenth century. Both disciplines are the product of European Enlightenment and they face fundamental difficulties in defining the other (either inside or outside the nation). The starting point for recognizing otherness is often based on Eurocentric criteria and on the very premises of Western modernity.¹⁵

Ethnological studies in Asia Minor

Following the Balkan wars and the First World War, the Greek Army took control of the *villayet* of İzmir. The Greek government established the Smyrna High Commission to administer the region while the Greek army engaged in fighting the forces of Kemal Atatürk. Dr Ntinos Malouchos served as director of rural economy in the Smyrna High Commission. He was a well-educated supporter of Venizelos's Liberal Party and had great respect for premodern rural community institutions. Malouchos, along with the rest of the bureaucrats assigned to work for the Smyrna High Commission, came to İzmir from Athens and it was he who initially proposed the idea of conducting ethnological studies in Asia Minor to the Greek government. The research that Malouchos and his associate Kostantinos Karavidas carried out reflected an anxiety to record the national composition of the populations of Asia Minor – an anxiety that Greeks, Turks and west European politicians, diplomats and military leaders shared at that time. Mapping the national composition of the Asia Minor population was of value both to domestic policy and to the international conferences held to decide on the fate of the Ottoman Empire. In the case of Asia Minor, as Stamatopoulos observed, 'any policy of management, even in the event of Greek military victory,

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

had to address the problem of national and cultural coexistence'.¹⁶ Managing this problem required access to ethnological maps and demographic censuses.¹⁷ However, mapping the populations was by definition fruitless because at that time the rural populations had not yet fully acquired national identities.¹⁸ Moreover, geographers and demographers had made comparable attempts to map the national composition of the population two decades earlier in the southern Balkans and their results had been used at international conventions and conferences.¹⁹

It is worth noting that in the late nineteenth century a number of pro-Greek scholars produced ethnological maps presenting the national composition of the population of Asia Minor. Well-known in this group were Kalfoglous, Kontoyiannis and Skalieris, who can be called armchair geographers since none of them actually conducted an extended fieldwork survey in all the areas they describe in their works.²⁰ They relied mainly on secondary resources, information from third parties²¹ and selective research in specific geographical areas. While their writings served the needs of geography textbooks for Greek schools in the Ottoman Empire and Greece,²² they failed to provide persuasive arguments about the national composition of Asia Minor's population to a non-Greek audience. This failure obviously affected Malouchos's and Karavidas's research methodology. Thus, instead of focusing on the big picture and relying on secondary data and information provided by others, Malouchos and Karavidas conducted fieldwork on specific regions. Their efforts resemble the work of some British anthropologists who helped the colonial administration in India produce ethnological maps and censuses.²³

It is worth noting that both Malouchos's and Karavidas's studies were conducted at a time when the process of constructing a Turkish national identity was accelerating. Competing loyalties to Turkism, Ottomanism and Islamism were influencing different elites and different groups in Asia Minor's population. This was a widespread phenomenon and Karavidas's specific research priorities highlight his clear understanding of such dynamic processes.

Ntinos Malouchos was a close associate and, to some extent, the mentor of Kostantinos Karavidas, with whom he later cooperated in producing the short-lived (1922–24) journal *Koinotis* (Community). Malouchos encouraged Karavidas to conduct ethnographic research in western and central Asia Minor. In the autumn and winter of 1922, Malouchos undertook an ethnographic mission, as he called it, to the interior of Asia Minor and submitted a memorandum to the Greek ministry of foreign affairs entitled 'On the diversity of the Muslim

CONTESTED TERRITORIES AND THE QUEST FOR ETHNOLOGY

population of Asia Minor and the economic relations among the various population elements'. In this report Malouchos described 'villages with an exclusively Muslim population at a distance of ten hours travel from Ankara', which allows us to conclude that he followed the third Greek Army corps in its advance to Ankara in July–August 1921. He referred in some detail to the languages spoken, relations between races, the role of women, customs, morale, and the sense of national awareness. He identified four different populations – Circassians, Kurds, Tatars and blacks. In conclusion, he advised Karavidas: 'I believe what would greatly interest the Foreign Ministry would be an ethnological study of the non-Turkish Muslims of Asia Minor and a study of the relations between them and the Turks.'²⁴

Karavidas was persuaded by Malouchos's views and, in early May 1922 submitted an application to the foreign ministry seeking assistance to travel to Asia Minor 'to study the following question: What are the economic relations with the purely Turkish population of the other Muslim communities, which either belong to heretical sects or have different customs, manners and traditions, leading to a lack of uniformity among them'. To persuade the foreign minister of the necessity of the study, Karavidas observed in his application that:

it is likely that these small differences, properly defined and developed, will provide a broad base for the cultivation of a national awareness; it is not impossible that a special policy might be based on this by the state in Asia Minor as it seeks to weaken and fragment the strength of the Turks.²⁵

A few days later, on 9 May 1922, Karavidas submitted another memorandum in support of his application entitled a 'Note on the economic relations among the Muslim communities in Asia Minor'. This four-page, handwritten document was probably the result of correspondence between Karavidas and Malouchos at an earlier date. In this document, Karavidas formulated the basic working hypotheses of the ethnographic research he wished to carry out in Asia Minor. He identified 'diversity in the manners and customs of the Muslim population', analysed relationships between Turkish landowners and other Muslims and concluded: 'the following question remains to be explored: has there been intermarriage between these villages or has each remained pure, inhabited by only one race.'²⁶ In further support of his request for assistance for his research in Asia Minor, he observed once again that 'this new awareness may evolve further, which would, in immediate and practical terms, divide the villages of

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

those forced to embrace Islam from those which were always Turkish.²⁷

This emphasis on distinguishing between the different crystallized identities of the Muslims of Asia Minor and the possibility of creating a new awareness among populations with non-Turkish roots, on the one hand mirrors a social reality, but on the other it reflects the deepest wishes of the Greek authorities at that time.²⁸ There is no doubt that the Muslim population of Asia Minor could be divided into different groups and categories according to descent, language, religious particularities and socio-economic conditions.²⁹ A number of these differences had been politicized, which explains the alliance of some Circassian chieftains with the Greek army against Kemal Atatürk.³⁰ Skalieris³¹ based his analysis on these linguistic, cultural and socio-economic differences in an attempt to question the existence of a uniform Turkish national identity among the Muslims of Asia Minor. The research Karavidas and Malouchos proposed exploited all these arguments and suggested a pioneering methodological approach to the subject.

On 27 May 1922, Karavidas was granted permission to travel to İzmir as a journalist. He travelled to the interior, sending a few reports to Athenian newspapers and, basically, occupying himself with writing his study. The University of Smyrna did not escape his attention, and, in a letter to Papanastasiou on 1 July 1922, he observed: 'The university needs to rouse itself, using teachers able to turn the students' minds towards the economic, social and political issues of the Orient.'³²

As part of his research, Karavidas conducted a census of the villages in the province of Pergamon in July 1922; these villages belonged to the *sandjak* of the *villayet* of İzmir (or Aydın).³³ This area was, of course, a safe choice in that it was close to İzmir and many Greek-speaking Orthodox Christian populations inhabited it.³⁴ In a letter to Malouchos dated 28 July 1922, he divided the district's populations into Greeks, Armenians, Jews and Muslims. The Muslims were subdivided into Turks, Circassians, Tachtatzides, Bosnians and Turko-Cretans. He finally produced a 63-page paper entitled 'Observations on the nature and future usefulness of the historical community organizations, and on the future policy of guidance of the Greek communities in Ionia'.³⁵ The paper contained a hand-drawn ethnographic map showing the Greek communities of the western and central part of Asia Minor. The structure and arguments of this paper were similar to Karavidas's work on the Slav-Macedonian rural community and the patriarchal family in the region of Monastir (Bitola), published four years later.³⁶

CONTESTED TERRITORIES AND THE QUEST FOR ETHNOLOGY

Karavidas's theoretical and methodological strengths, as well as gaps in his thinking, were already visible in this early work.³⁷ His final conclusions are of particular interest:

the form of contemporary civilization, which is advancing ever more rapidly towards the ideals of democratic humanism, must sooner or later be imposed on the peoples of Western Asia Minor; this is what makes the presence here of the Greeks so important, and their responsibilities so much heavier towards themselves and towards the whole country, which deserves a better fate.³⁸

These conclusions, of course, present 'the humanist mask which Greek nationalism was accustomed to wearing in the second half of the nineteenth century'.³⁹ According to its logic, the duty of Greece was to bring the lights of Western civilization to the Orient.⁴⁰

We should note that Karavidas failed to secure a place on any of the ships that evacuated the Greeks of İzmir. He was left behind in the city, witnessed the great fire and departed on around 29–30 August 1922. He was not to write again anything concerning the populations of Asia Minor.⁴¹

On a broader theoretical level, Malouchos's and Karavidas's work encountered and reproduced the dilemmas that nationalist ideology imposed on premodern cultures. Nationalism demands the existence of exclusive and unique identities⁴² and both the Greek and Turkish nations developed on the basis of what Anthony D. Smith called ethnic nationalisms.⁴³ According to this model of national ideology, cultures are nationalized and culture becomes a criterion of national identification and mobilization. In the case at hand, politicians, policy makers and state officials embracing national ideologies attempted to use sociocultural, linguistic and historical criteria to divide the local population into distinctive national groups (like Greeks and Turks). However, by using these criteria they failed to understand that, at the local level, the decision to adopt a nationality during the late nineteenth and early twentieth century was a political choice very often irrelevant to the sociocultural identity and linguistic practices of those who took this decision. Karavidas's research can be understood as an attempt at social engineering in which certain customs and morale (that is sociocultural, linguistic and historical characteristics) were to be used for creating a different national awareness.

The school of ethnology of the peoples of the Orient

The work of Karavidas and Malouchos was a concrete attempt to establish a scholarly discourse on the subject of otherness. In terms of planning, the most important attempt to set up the structures for a scholarly study of otherness was associated with the founding of the University of Smyrna. Its establishment in December 1920 was the idea of Costantin Caratheodory, an internationally renowned mathematician and close friend of Albert Einstein. Caratheodory, the child of a Greek Phanariot family, was well travelled and able to communicate in seven languages. He had a celebrated academic career at several major German universities, at the University of Athens and at the Athens National Technical University. In his analysis of Caratheodory's career, Pyrgiotakis made a persuasive case for presenting him as a product of the encounter between the West and the Orient.⁴⁴ Caratheodory convinced Venizelos of the importance of establishing the nation's second university in İzmir rather than Thessaloniki, and granted him full freedom and support in planning the various faculties, curricula and organization of the new institution.⁴⁵ Caratheodory and his colleagues were distinguished by their political realism. We should note that Nikolaos Politis, too, was involved in staffing the School of Ethnology. He recommended that Caratheodory hire Evgenios Somaridis, who at the time was lecturing at the University of Vienna, to teach in the new school.⁴⁶ For reasons that remain unclear, Caratheodory eventually opted for an orientalist who had also studied the social sciences, I. Kallitsounakis. Kallitsounakis, who had been teaching oriental languages at the University of Berlin, assumed responsibility for overseeing the ordering of books for the new school.

The proposal for the new university envisaged four schools – engineering, agriculture, commerce and the ethnology of peoples of the Orient. To these were added later an institute of health, a school for civil servants and a senior Muslim seminary, which was rather similar to a school for Muslim studies.⁴⁷ Caratheodory's relations with Stergiadis, the head of the Greek high commission in Smyrna, were excellent, as evidenced from his personal letters to the latter.⁴⁸

For Caratheodory, one of the main reasons for selecting İzmir as the site for the new university was the composition of the Asia Minor population and the need 'for active participation of the minorities in public life and in the development of the country', as he put it in his memorandum to Venizelos.⁴⁹ One has to remember that İzmir was the second most important port of the Ottoman Empire, a cosmopolitan city with large numbers of Greeks, Armenians, Jews, Levantines and

CONTESTED TERRITORIES AND THE QUEST FOR ETHNOLOGY

Turks.⁵⁰ Nor was it by chance that Caratheodory's choice of the university's motto was *EX ORIENTE LUX*. The special importance Caratheodory attached to the issue of the non-Greek populations of the 'Greece of the Five Seas'⁵¹ can also be seen in the view he formed of the School of Ethnology, which 'must above all serve a practical purpose'. This practical purpose, which the school of ethnology and senior Muslim seminary shared, was different from a crude nationalist agenda. It served a programme of social modernization and aimed to consolidate relations between Greeks and their neighbours. Caratheodory was particularly explicit in his memorandum: 'the true driving force behind the *rapprochement* of different peoples lies in a community of interests, and in the capacity of education to play the part of the catalyst in a chemical compound.'⁵² The development of the University of Smyrna was oriented 'to the creation of centres of education and study suitable for developing the natural relations which Greece is called on to restore with all its neighbours'.⁵³

The ethnology school curriculum initially focused on history and the ethnographic present (languages, 'customs, religion and legislation of the peoples in question'). Some courses were specified in the Caratheodory memorandum – comparative linguistics of the Semitic languages, Slavic languages, Turkish, Persian and Armenian, history of art and archaeology, and Islamic law. Additional courses were not mentioned by name, only a general description of their framework was provided. We should note that the proposed curriculum did not include any courses in Greek language or literature. It is also important to keep in mind that the term Orient (*Anatoli*) was at the time used in Greece to refer to the whole of the former Ottoman Empire, including the Balkans.⁵⁴ This explains why Slavs, Turks, Armenians and others are categorized as belonging to the Orient.

Knowledge of the current ethnographic situation of the Orient was deemed important in the curriculum because 'alongside the scientific teaching of languages, it will be necessary to organize, in particular, courses designed to give the student a vivid perception of the Slavic and Muslim worlds, not only from a historical perspective but also from the standpoint of the current situation'.⁵⁵ The final plans for the curriculum were slightly different from the initial proposal put forward by Caratheodory, with more emphasis being laid on the teaching of languages.

Courses at the University of Smyrna were scheduled to commence in September 1922, but by the end of August that year, Kemal Atatürk and his forces had gained control of the city and the Greek administration withdrew. Caratheodory made his escape from İzmir at

literally the very last moment.⁵⁶ The Greek, Levantine and Armenian neighbourhoods of the city were burnt. There was no continuity to Caratheodory's vision and the compulsory exchange of Greek and Turkish populations removed any chance of the Greeks returning to Asia Minor. Since then the university buildings have been used as a girls' college.

National politics and the quest for ethnology

The activities of Malouchos, Karavidas and Caratheodory can best be understood in the context of Venizelist liberals framing national policies on otherness during the 1910–30 period.⁵⁷ As already explained, perceptions of Hellenism and Hellenization dominated these policies. At the same time, however, through invocation of the cultural superiority of the West and its civilizing role, they also evoke broader notions of colonial power structures. As Sigalas observed, 'in the context of the conceptual notion of "Hellenism", assimilation represented the other side of the coin of expansion.'⁵⁸ The negotiation of otherness of the 'Orthodox populations of different national backgrounds' in the New Lands aimed at integrating these populations, at Hellenizing them. From the studies by Karavidas and Malouchos, and from the ethnology school's founding objectives, it is clear that any attempt to integrate the non-Orthodox populations of Asia Minor into the main body of society had first to involve distancing them from the process of Turkification that was taking place during that period.⁵⁹ What I am suggesting is that certain intellectuals involved in the Venizelist movement, like Karavidas, Malouchos and Caratheodory,⁶⁰ were persuaded that there was an unfolding process of Turkification and that it could be countered, in part, by systematic study and implementation of appropriate policies on the Greek side.⁶¹ We shall never know to what extent such plans corresponded with reality or to what extent they were just the wishes of certain Greek intellectuals, politicians and leaders.

It is worth noticing that these views were by no means acceptable to the Greek royalists who took a much more cautious stance towards the populations of other races and towards attempts to study and understand their otherness. It is interesting that certain pro-royalist circles within the University of Athens greeted with some disdain the founding of the University of Smyrna. In a discussion held in the senate of the University of Athens on 4 June 1922, concerning recognition of foreign schools, the dean of the school of physics and mathematics, Professor N. Hatzidakis, described the University of Smyrna as 'the practical university founded in Smyrna under the

CONTESTED TERRITORIES AND THE QUEST FOR ETHNOLOGY

supervision of Caratheodory', classifying it with the various foreign missions active inside the Greek state.⁶²

During the final months of the Smyrna high commission, when it was clear that the Greek military presence in Asia Minor was in deep trouble, the Venizelists made desperate efforts to stress the need to maintain a Greek military force in the region to avert the impending catastrophe. It is in this context that we should see the efforts of the Venizelist officers active through the Asia Minor Defence movement in Istanbul and İzmir.⁶³ Material available in the Karavidas archive contains no reference or information that might indicate that he was in touch with the Venizelists of the Asia Minor Defence movement.⁶⁴ At the same time, there is no evidence to indicate any involvement by Karavidas, Malouchos or Caratheodory in the discussions on the creation of an Asia Minor state – a proposal put forward by High Commissioner K. Stergiadis a few months before the final withdrawal of the Greek army. The ethnological research of Malouchos and Karavidas, conducted in the very last days of the Greek presence in İzmir, as well as the concluding lines from Karavidas's study, cited above, must of course be seen in this context as part of the general efforts of the Venizelists to preserve the Greek presence in Asia Minor. But history took a different course, and the next three decades (1930–50) saw the dominance of a narrower concept of Hellenism that gradually led to the construction of ever more others (Bulgarians and communist conspirators).⁶⁵

In any case, the efforts to build up a scholarly study of otherness in the early 1920s contained elements of the ideology of the Greek nation-state, as well as a colonial Orientalist framework similar to the one that led to the development of anthropology among the colonial powers of that time.⁶⁶ The futility of such an endeavour had been foreseen – albeit with some partiality – by the English historian Toynbee, who observed that 'the new Greek University will be a castle built on sand, with no roots in the country where it is located'.⁶⁷ In that crumbling imperial world, the meeting of the West and the East in Asia Minor shifted from the hands of Greek nationalists to the control of Turkish nationalists.

REFERENCES

74. K. S. Batibey, *Bati Trakya Türk Devleti (1918-1920)* (Istanbul, 1979) especially pp. 130-1.
75. E. Dimokratia, Y. Exoterikon, E. Ypiresia, T. N. Symvaseon (eds), *Πράξεις που υπογράφηκαν στη Λωζάννη στις 30 Ιανουαρίου και 24 Ιουνίου 1923* (Athens, 1992), p. 95.

Chapter 10. Contested Territories and the Quest for Ethnology

1. I am grateful to N. Diamandouros, T. Dragona, D. Gefou-Madianou, K. Gougouli, V. Nitsiakos, E. Papataxiarchis, K. Tsitselikis and D. Stamatopoulos for their comments on an earlier draft of this chapter. I am also grateful to the Gennadius Library of the American School of Classical Studies in Athens for its assistance in the study of K. Karavidas's archives.
2. Studies conducted in the geographical region of Macedonia are typical of this process. At least ten 'ethnological' maps on the distribution of populations in Macedonia were produced in the period 1876-79. (See S. Karavas, 'Οι εθνογραφικές περιπέτειες του "ελληνισμού" (1876-1878) Α', *Τα Ιστορικά*, vol. 36, 2002, pp. 23-74; S. Karavas, 'Οι εθνογραφικές περιπέτειες του "ελληνισμού" (1876-78) Β', *Τα Ιστορικά*, 38 (2003) pp. 49-112; and H. R. Wilkinson, *Maps and politics: a review of the ethnographic cartography of Macedonia* (Liverpool, Liverpool University Press, 1951). Numerous geographers and demographers, such as D. M. Brancoff (*La Macédoine et sa population Chretienne*, Paris, 1905) and A. Pallis (Στατιστική μελέτη περί φυλετικών μεταναστεύσεων Μακεδονίας και Θράκης κατά την περίοδο 1912-1924, Athens, 1925) published surveys and censuses of the populations of the region. For a detailed analysis, see I. Michailidis, 'The war of statistics: traditional recipes for the preparation of the Macedonian salad', *East European Quarterly*, vol. 32, no. 1, 1998, pp. 9-21.
3. With the exception of specific titles, such as 'University of Smyrna', used by the Greek authorities, toponyms are mentioned in the usual form in the English language.
4. The term 'New Lands' (*Nees Chores*) is a native one, used to refer to the former Ottoman regions (Macedonia, Thrace, Epirus and the villayet of İzmir) annexed by the Greek administration according to the Treaties of Neuilly (1919) and Sèvres (1920).
5. My analysis is based on secondary sources as well as on archival material deposited in the Gennadius Library and the Historical Archive of the University of Athens.
6. K. Tsitselikis and D. Chrystopoulos, 'Από το πολυπολιτισμικό "μέγα όνειρον του Ελληνισμού" των αρχών του 20ου αιώνα στην "πολυπολιτισμική πραγματικότητα" των αρχών του 21ου αιώνα', in D. Chrystopoulos (ed.) *Το ανομολόγητο ζήτημα των μειονοτήτων* (Athens, 2008), pp. 33-67. See, for example, the various administrative regulations concerning the non-Greek Orthodox religious authorities, the education of the Muslims of Thrace and the Slav speakers of Greek Macedonia. See

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- I. Michailidis, 'Μειονοτικές ευαισθησίες και εκπαιδευτικά προβλήματα: η περίπτωση του Abecedar', in K. Tsitselikis (ed.) *Γλώσσες, αλφάβητα και εθνική ιδεολογία στην Ελλάδα και τα Βαλκάνια* (Athens, 1999). It is worth noting that, according to Tsitselikis and Chrystopoulos, 'Από το πολυπολιτισμικό', this political realism was first introduced by E. Venizelos in the context of the autonomous administration of Crete.
7. N. Sigalas, "Ελληνισμός" και εξελληνισμός: ο σχηματισμός της νεοελληνικής έννοιας ελληνισμός', *Τα Ιστορικά*, vol. 34, 2001, p. 48.
 8. See discussion on the Türk Derneğ Society in B. Lewis, *The emergence of modern Turkey* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1968) pp. 323–45.
 9. T. Parla, *The social and political thought of Ziya Gökalp* (Leiden, E. J. Brill, 1985). See A. Kartari, 'Ideologie in der türkischen Ethnologie und Folkloristik', *Ethnologia Balkanica*, vol. 2, 1998, pp. 57–68 for a detailed analysis of the relationship between nationalism and social science in Turkey.
 10. For a detailed discussion on Greek folklore studies, see M. Herzfeld, *Ours once more: folklore, ideology and the making of modern Greece* (New York, Pella, 1986); A. Kyriakidou-Nestoros, *Η θεωρία της ελληνικής λαογραφίας* (Athens, 1978); D. Loukatos, *Λαογραφία - Εθνογραφία* (Ioannina, 1968); G. A. Megas, 'Λαογραφία, Εθνογραφία, Εθνολογία', *Πρακτικά Συνεδρίων του έτους 1966 της Ελληνικής Ανθρωπολογικής Εταιρείας*, 1967, pp. 22–7; M. Meraklis, 'Οι θεωρητικές κατευθύνσεις της λαογραφίας', *Λαογραφία ΚΖ*, 1971, pp. 2–23; and V. Nitsiakos, *Προσανατολισμοί. Μια κριτική εισαγωγή στη λαογραφία* (Athens, 2008).
 11. Loukatos, *Λαογραφία - Εθνογραφία*, pp. 5–6.
 12. D. Dracklé, I. R. Edgar and T. K. Schippers, *Educational histories of European social anthropology* (New York, Waxmann Munster, 2003); H. F. Vermeulen and A. Alvarez Roldan (eds) *Fieldwork and footnotes: studies in the history of European anthropology* (London, Routledge, 1995).
 13. A. Kuper, *Anthropology and anthropologists: the modern British school* (London, Routledge, 1983).
 14. M. Herzfeld, *Anthropology through the looking glass: critical ethnography in the margins of Europe* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1987).
 15. Nitsiakos, *Προσανατολισμοί*, p. 39.
 16. D. Stamatopoulos, 'Η Μικρασιατική Εκστρατεία και η ανθρωπογεωγραφία της καταστροφής: από την μετανάστευση στην προσφυγιά', in E. Gazi and A. Liakos (eds) *Προβλήματα της Νεοελληνικής Ιστορίας* (Athens, forthcoming).
 17. This obsession with censuses is typical of all national movements. See B. Anderson, *Imagined communities: reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism*, revised edition (London, Verso, 2006) especially chapter 10. In the case of Turkey, the debate on the size of the Greek Orthodox population was crucial until the late 1950s. See S. Vryonis, *The mechanism of catastrophe: the Turkish pogrom of September 6–7, 1955, and the destruction of the Greek community of Istanbul* (New York, 2005).

REFERENCES

18. S. Anagnostopoulou, *Μικρά Ασία, 19ος αι.-1919: οι ελληνορθόδοξες κοινότητες. Από το μιλλέτ των Ρωμιών στο Ελληνικό Έθνος* (Athens, 1997); P. Kitromilides and A. Alexandris, 'Ethnic survival, nationalism and forced migration: the historical demography of the Greek community of Asia Minor at the close of the Ottoman era', *Δελτίο Κέντρου Μικρασιατικών Σπουδών*, vol. 5 (1984–85) pp. 9–44.
19. For a discussion on the relationship between ethnological maps and surveys of Macedonia and international politics related to the disintegration of the Ottoman Empire, see Karavas, 'Οι εθνογραφικές περιπέτειες' (nos 36 and 38); Michailidis, 'The war of statistics'; and Wilkinson, *Maps and politics*.
20. I. I. Kalfoglous, *Ιστορική Γεωγραφία της Μικρασιατικής Χερσονήσου* (Athens, 1899, reprinted 2007); P. M. Kontoyiannis, *Γεωγραφία της Μικράς Ασίας* (Athens, 1921, reprinted 1995); G. K. Skalieris, *Λαοί και Φυλαί της Μικράς Ασίας. Μετά Πινάκων και Χαρτών* (Athens, 1922, reprinted 1990 and 1995). Compared with the writings of Kontoyiannis and Skalieris, the work of Kalfoglous is less influenced by a nationalist agenda. Kalfoglous belongs to the tradition of nineteenth century geographers. See S. Anestidis, 'Εισαγωγή' in *Κάλφογλους Ιωάννης Η. Ιστορική Γεωγραφία της Μικρασιατικής Χερσονήσου* (Athens, 2002).
21. Such data were often provided by the Society for Greek Letters, a private association strongly influenced by the state, or the numerous Greek societies in the various Ottoman cities.
22. In 1862 the Greek Philological Society of Constantinople offered prizes for writing local geographic studies of the various Asia Minor regions. In 1881 the same society offered a prize for writing and publishing an overall geographic study of Asia Minor (Anestidis, 'Εισαγωγή', p. 15). For a detailed analysis of the relationship between geography manuals and nation-building in Greece, see C. Koulouri, *Dimensions idéologiques de l'historicité en Grèce, 1834-1914: les manuels scolaires d'histoire et de géographie* (Frankfurt am Main, 1991).
23. Kuper, *Anthropology and anthropologists*.
24. See K. Karavidas's letter to the Greek foreign minister, 16 May 1922. File 3, Archives of Kostantinos Karavidas, Gennadius Library, American School of Classical Studies in Athens.
25. See Karavidas's application to the foreign ministry, May 1922 (with no specific date). File 3, Archives of Kostantinos Karavidas, Gennadius Library, the American School of Classical Studies in Athens.
26. See Karavidas' letter to the foreign ministry, 9 May 1922, entitled 'Note on the economic relations among the Muslim communities in Asia Minor'. File 3, Archives of Kostantinos Karavidas, Gennadius Library, American School of Classical Studies in Athens.
27. *Ibid.*
28. Anagnostopoulou, *Asia Minor 19th century to 1919*; Stamatopoulos, 'Η

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- Μικρασιατική Εκστρατεία’.
29. This was also the case for the Greek Orthodox Christian populations of the region.
 30. Circassian chieftains were used by all parties involved in the 1920–22 conflicts in Asia Minor. The grand vizier Damat Ferid Pasha was in alliance with paramilitary groups of Circassians in 1920 while fighting the national forces of K. Atatürk (E.J. Zürcher, *Turkey: a modern history*, London, I.B.Tauris & Co Ltd, 1993). A number of Circassian chieftains sided with the Greek Army during its 1919–22 Asia Minor campaign. K. Tsitselikis, *Old and new Islam in Greece: from minorities to immigrant newcomers* (forthcoming) follows the fate of these groups after the 1922 defeat of the Greek Army. He explains that ‘about 9000 anti-Kemalist Circassians ... sought political refuge in Greece and settled in Thessaloniki, Imathia and Thrace. They were partly expelled from Greece around 1931 during the period of the Greco-Turkish *rapprochement*. The Circassians settled in Thessaloniki and founded a Moufti office, which was officially recognized. The official bilingual seal (Greek and Turkish in Ottoman script) indicated 1923 as the year of foundation. The Circassians were in contact with other Muslim communities of Greece as shown by a letter sent in late 1935 to the Moufti of Komotini (Moufti Office of the Circassians in Thessaloniki, No 59 of 29 November 1935, Archive of the Moufti Office of Komotini)’. Pelagidis also mentions the alliance of Circassian chieftains with the Greek Army in Asia Minor. Based on documents of the Historical Archives of the Greek ministry of foreign affairs (English report of 1 March 1923, HAMFA/1923/A/5VI/IO) he estimates the number of those who settled in Greece in 1922 (E. Pelagidis, *Η αποκατάσταση των προσφύγων στη δυτική Μακεδονία 1923–1930*, Thessaloniki, 1994, p. 244).
 31. Skalieris, Λαοί και Φυλαί της Μικράς.
 32. See Karavidas’s letter to Papanastasiou, 1 July 1922. File 3, Archives of Kostantinos Karavidas, Gennadius Library, American School of Classical Studies in Athens.
 33. Sandjaks and villayets were administrative units of the Ottoman Empire.
 34. G. Augustinos, *The Greeks of Asia Minor* (Kent, Ohio, Kent State University Press, 1992).
 35. K. Karavidas, Παρατηρήσεις περί της φύσεως και της μελλοντικής χρησιμότητος των ιστορικών κοινοτικών οργανισμών ως και περί της προϊούσης πολιτικής χειραγωγήσεως των επί της Ιωνίας ομογενών κοινοτήτων, unpublished ms (Athens, 1922).
 36. K. Karavidas, ‘Η Μακεδονοσλαβική αγροτική κοινότης και η πατριαρχική οικογένεια εις την περιφέρειαν Μοναστηρίου’, *Αρχείον Οικονομικών και Κοινωνικών Επιστημών*, vol. 6, 1926, pp. 227–314.
 37. For a critical analysis of Karavidas’s theoretical and political contribution, see M. Komninou, ‘Εισαγωγή: Η σκέψη του Καραβίδα και η

REFERENCES

- προβληματική των κοινωνικών επιστημών', in M. Komninou and E. Papataxiarchis (eds) *Κοινότητα, Κοινωνία και Ιδεολογία* (Athens, 1990); K. Kostis, 'Ο Καραβίδας και η "ανακάλυψη" των χωρικών στη μεσοπολεμική Ελλάδα', in M. Komninou and E. Papataxiarchis (eds) *Κοινότητα, Κοινωνία και Ιδεολογία* (Athens, 1990); and G. Mavrogordatos, 'Ο Καραβίδας, θεωρητικός και ιδεολόγος του μικροαστισμού', in M. Komninou and E. Papataxiarchis (eds) *Κοινότητα, Κοινωνία και Ιδεολογία* (Athens, 1990). For a review of communitarian movements in interwar Greece, see N. Mouzelis, 'Greek and Bulgarian peasants: aspects of their sociopolitical situation during the interwar period', *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, vol. 18, no. 1, 1976, pp. 85–105; and S. Petmezas, 'La "commune grecque": une tentative d'histoire des fictions historiographiques', in G. Grivaud and S. Petmezas (eds) *Byzantina et Moderna* (Athens, 2007).
38. Karavidas, 'Παρατηρήσεις περί της ...', p. 36.
 39. Sigalas, "'Hellenism" and hellenization', p. 48.
 40. K. T. Dimaras, *Ελληνικός Ρωμαντισμός* (Athens, 1982).
 41. Two months later Karavidas published an article in the journal *Koinotis* in which he was rather critical of the policies of both the Greek liberals and the royalists in the case of Asia Minor. In this article he did not make any reference to his own experiences in İzmir. See K. Karavidas, 'Η πατριωτική επανάσταση και τα κόμματα', *Κοινότης*, A/8 (20 November 1922) pp. 3–7.
 42. E. Gellner, *Nations and nationalism* (Oxford, Blackwell, 1983).
 43. A. D. Smith, *The ethnic origin of nations* (Oxford, Blackwell, 1986).
 44. I. Pyrgiotakis, *Εκπαίδευση και κοινωνία στην Ελλάδα* (Athens, 2001).
 45. See Caratheodory's memorandum to Venizelos entitled 'Projet d'une nouvelle université en Grèce', published in K. Vovolinis, *Μέγα Ελληνικόν Βιογραφικόν Λεξικόν* (Athens, 1962).
 46. M. Georgiadou, *Constantin Caratheodory: mathematics and politics in turbulent times* (Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 2004) p. 161.
 47. The legal provisions establishing the University of Smyrna are included in Law 2493/1919 (Greek Government Gazette 1919, A 206, No 3) and Law 2251/14 July 1920 (Greek Government Gazette 1920, A II, p. 1347). The Greek high commission in Smyrna issued a degree in December 1920.
 48. See Caratheodory's tenth letter to F. Klein dated 11 March 1921 in P. Sidera-Lytra, A. Sideras and G. Stamou, *Κωνσταντίνου Καραθεοδωρή 50 Επιστολές προς συναδέλφους του στη Γοττίγη (Göttingen)* (Thessaloniki, 2004) p. 84. Georgiadou (in *Constantin Caratheodory*, p. 151) also mentions the good relations between Caratheodory and Stergiadis.
 49. The most important population categories in İzmir had their own long established educational institutions. See Anon., *η Μητρόπολη του Μικρασιατικού Ελληνισμού* (Athens, 2001); Augustinos, *The Greeks of Asia Minor*; D.I Goffman, 'İzmir: from village to colonial port city', in E.

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- Eldem, D. Goffman and B. Masters (eds) *The Ottoman city between East and West: Aleppo, İzmir and Istanbul* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1999); H. Nahum, *Juifs de Smyrne, XIXe-Xxe siècle* (Paris, Aubier, 1997); Marie-Carmen Smyrnelis, *Smyrne, la ville oubliée? Mémoires d'un grand port ottoman, 1830-1930* (Paris, Éditions Autrement, 2006); C. Solomonidis, *Η παιδεία στη Σμύρνη* (Athens, 1961). However, until that time none of these institutions provided university education, although some were famous for their high academic standards. The elite families of the Greek Orthodox community, for example, usually educated their children at the Evangelical School of İzmir. See V. Kechriotis, 'La Smyrne grecque: des communautés au panthéon de l'histoire', in M. C. Smyrnelis (ed.) *Smyrne, la ville oubliée? Mémoires d'un grand port ottoman, 1830-1930* (Paris, Éditions Autrement, 2006).
50. Goffman, 'İzmir'; Kechriotis, 'La Smyrne grecque'; Nahum, *Juifs de Smyrne*; and Smyrnelis, *Smyrne, la ville oubliée?*
 51. The term *Greece of the Five Seas* was part of the irredentist Greek vocabulary of the interwar era. The Venizelists used it to describe the territories annexed or controlled by Greece after the Balkan wars.
 52. See Caratheodory's memorandum to Venizelos entitled 'Projet d'une nouvelle université en Grèce' (in Vovolinis, *Μέγα Ελληνικόν*).
 53. Ibid.
 54. B. K. Gounaris, *Τα Βαλκάνια των ελλήνων: από το διαφωτισμό έως τον Α' παγκόσμιο πόλεμο* (Thessaloniki, 2007).
 55. See Caratheodory's memorandum to Venizelos in Vovolinis, *Μέγα Ελληνικόν*.
 56. Caratheodory's family departed from İzmir two days before the withdrawal of the last remaining Greek forces (see Caratheodory's twelfth letter to F. Klein dated 25 December 1922, in Sidera-Lytra et al. *Κωνσταντίνου Καραθεοδωρή*, pp. 116-17). See also D. Caratheodory-Rodopoulou and D. Karastergiou-Vasvateki, *Κωνσταντίνος Καραθεοδωρή* (Athens, 2001).
 57. Anagnostopoulou, *Asia Minor 19th century to 1919*; Augustinos, *The Greeks of Asia Minor*; Kitromilides and Alexandris, 'Ethnic survival'.
 58. Sigalas, "'Hellenism" and hellenization', pp. 17-22; see also E. Papataxiarhis, 'La Grèce face à l'altérité', *Ethnologie française*, vol. 102, no. 2, 2005, pp. 203-12.
 59. Lewis, *The emergence of modern Turkey*; Zürcher, *Turkey: a modern history*.
 60. As a student at the University of Athens, Karavidas was politically engaged in the circles known as the 'student comrades' of Delmouzos; he remained in the narrow circle of Venizelos's associates until the end of the Greek Army's Asia Minor campaign, when he began to distance himself from the Venizelist movement. Malouchos seems to have followed a similar path, until his sudden death in the mid-1920s. Caratheodory worked with Venizelos on matters regarding higher education policy in the late 1920s and early 1930s.

REFERENCES

61. Anagnostopoulou, *Asia Minor 19th century to 1919*; Augustinos, *The Greeks of Asia Minor*; and Kitromilides and Alexandris, 'Ethnic survival'.
62. Minutes of the Senate of the National and Capodistrian University of Athens, No. 26 of 4 June 1922.
63. Anagnostopoulou, *Asia Minor 19th century to 1919*; Augustinos, *The Greeks of Asia Minor*.
64. Karavidas kept detailed notes and minutes of his contacts. These notes compose a great part of the material available in his archive. Research in these notes did not identify a single reference to any contacts by him and/or Malouchos to the 'Asia Minor Defence' movement.
65. The provision for training rabbis at the theology school of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki and the founding in 1924–25 of a department of Balkan languages within the philosophical school 'in order to facilitate communication with the Balkan peoples' (S. Bouzakis, *Η πανεπιστημιακή εκπαίδευση στην Ελλάδα*, Athens, 2006, p. 80) reflects the only corresponding attempts to introduce the study and management of otherness until the 1980s. Neither of these initiatives bore fruit, and both prompted fierce political controversy. The issue triggered stormy exchanges at the debate in parliament on the bill to establish the Aristotle University (Law 3341/1925). At the meeting of parliament on 8 July 1924 to discuss the bill 'on the founding of a university in Thessaloniki', K. Gontikas, the leader of the opposition, clashed with the prime minister and ministers I. S. Lymberopoulos and K. Spyridis on the provision envisaging the graduation of rabbis from the theological school of the Aristotle University. For a more detailed analysis of the issue see Spyros Marketos, 'Η ίδρυση του Πανεπιστημίου Θεσσαλονίκης: μια πολιτική επιλογή', paper presented at the conference *University and Transformation in Greece*, University of Athens, 7–8 June 2007 (Athens). For an analysis of the development of social anthropology in Greece in the 1980s as a result of a different understanding of otherness see D. Gefou-Madianou, 'Mirroring ourselves through Western texts: the limits of an indigenous anthropology', in H. Driessen (ed.) *The politics of ethnographic reading and writing* (Saarbrücken, Verlag Breitenbach, 1993); D. Gefou-Madianou, 'Disciples, discipline and reflection: anthropological encounters and trajectories', in M. Strathern (ed.) *Audit cultures* (London, Athlone Press, 2000); E. Papataxiarchis, 'Η πανεπιστημιακή αποκατάσταση της Κοινωνικής Ανθρωπολογίας στην Ελλάδα', in *Προοπτικές και Μέλλον των Κοινωνικών Επιστημών στην Ελλάδα* (Rethymno, 1999); and Papataxiarchis, 'La Grèce face à l'altérité'.
66. The influence of colonialism in the University of Smyrna is also supported by Georgiadou, *Constantin Caratheodory*, p. 165.
67. 1970, p. 177.

Chapter 11. Antakya between Empire and Nation

References

- Abbas, A., 'Cosmopolitan de-descriptions: Shanghai and Hong Kong', *Public Culture*, vol. 12, no. 3, 2000, pp. 769–86.
- Abou El-Haj, N., *Facts on the ground: archaeological practice and territorial self-fashioning in Israeli society* (Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 2001).
- Agamben, G., *State of exception* (Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 2005).
- Agnew, J. A. and S. Corbrige, *Mastering space: hegemony, territory and international political economy* (London, Routledge, 1995).
- Agriantoni, Ch., *The beginnings of industrialisation in Greece in the nineteenth century* (in Greek) (Athens, Historical Archive, Commercial Bank of Greece, 1986).
- Akpınar, T., 'Alman seyahatnamelerinde Edirne', in N. İşli and S. Koz (eds) *Edirne: Serhattaki Payitaht* (Edirne in the memoirs of German travellers) (Istanbul, Yapı Kredi Yayınları, 1998) pp. 255–77.
- Aktar, A., *Varlık Vergisi ve Türkleştirme Politikaları* (İstanbul, İletişim, 2000).
- Alexandris, A., 'Oi Ellines stin ypiresia tis Othomanikis Autokratorias 1850–1922', *Deltion tis Istorikis kai Ethnologikis Etaireias tis Ellados*, Athens, vol. 23, 1980, pp. 365–404.
- Ambrosio, T., *Irredentism: ethnic conflict and international politics* (Westport, Connecticut, Praeger, 2001).
- Anagnostopoulou, S., *Asia Minor 19th century to 1919, the Greek-Orthodox communities: from the Rum millet to the Greek nation* (Athens, Ellinika Grammata, 1997).
- Μικρά Ασία, 19ος αι.-1919: οι ελληνορθόδοξες κοινότητες. Από το μιλλέτ των Ρωμιών στο Ελληνικό Έθνος* (Athens, 1997).
- Anastassiadis, A., 'Prise entre 3 Romes: L'Eglise de Grèce et les relations inter-ecclésiastiques dans la nouvelle Europe: vers un retour d'anciennes problématiques?', *Balkanologie*, vol. 9, nos 1–2, December 2005, pp. 255–63.
- "Dieu vomit les tièdes!" Modernisation ecclésiastique et intolérance religieuse: pour une reconsideration des relations etat-eglise

REFERENCES

- pendant l'entre-deux-guerres en Grèce', in G. Grivaud and S. Petmezas (eds) *Byzantina et moderna: mélanges en l'honneur d'Hélène Antoniadis-Bibicou* (Athens, Alexandria, 2007) pp. 449–66.
- 'Un Vatican anglicano-orthodoxe? Relations interconfessionnelles, rêves impériaux et joutes diplomatiques à la fin de la Grande Guerre', in A. Anastasiadis (ed.) *Precarious neighborhood: inter-confessional relations in SE Europe and Eastern Mediterranean, 1853–1923* (Paris, De Boccard, 2009 forthcoming).
- Anderson, B., *Imagined communities: reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism* (London, Verso, 1991).
- Imagined communities: reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism*, revised edition (London, Verso, 2006).
- Anestidis, S., 'Εισαγωγή', in Κάλφογλου Ιωάννης Η. *Ιστορική Γεωγραφία της Μικρασιατικής Χερσονήσου* (Athens, 2002).
- Angell, E., 'Inventing Hatay: Turkish nationalism, minority politics, and the sanjak of Alexandretta dispute', unpublished M.Phil thesis, Faculty of Oriental Studies, St Antony's College, University of Oxford, 2005.
- Anon., *Σμύρνη, η Μητρόπολη του Μικρασιατικού Ελληνισμού* (Athens, 2001).
- Antoniadès-Bibicou, H. 'Villages désertés en Grèce: un bilan provisoire', *Villages désertés et histoire économique XI-XVIII*, (EPHE Section VI, Paris, SEVPEN, 1965).
- Aswad, B., *Property control and social strategies: settlers on a Middle Eastern plain* (Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Press, 1971).
- Atabay, M., 'Anadoluculuk', *Milliyetçilik* (Istanbul, İletişim, 2002) pp. 515–32.
- Attalides, M., *Cyprus: nationalism and international politics* (Edinburgh, Q Press, 1979).
- Augustinos, G., *The Greeks of Asia Minor* (Kent, Ohio, Kent State University Press, 1992).
- Aydınlı, A., *Batı Trakya faciâsının içyüzü* (Istanbul, 1971).
- Badie, B. and P. Birnbaum, *The sociology of the state* (Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1983)
- Bahcheli, T., *Greek-Turkish relations since 1955* (Boulder, Westview Press, 1990).
- 'Cyprus in the post-cold war environment', in V. Calotychos (ed.) *Cyprus and its people: nation, identity and experience in an unimaginable community, 1955–1977* (Boulder, Westview Press, 1998).

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- Balta, E., 'La communauté grecque d'Andrianople (milieu du XIXe siècle-1922)', in E. Balta, *Peuple et production. Pour une interpretation des sources ottomanes* (Istanbul, Isis Press, 1999) pp. 209-45.
- Barkey, K., 'Islam and toleration: studying the Ottoman imperial model', *International Journal of Politics, Culture and Society*, vol. 19, nos 1 and 2, 2005, pp. 5-19.
- Barth, F., *Ethnic groups and boundaries: the social organization of culture difference* (Boston, Little, Brown & Co., 1969).
- Bartu, A., 'Rethinking heritage politics in a global context: a view from Istanbul', in N. Al Sayyad, *Hybrid urbanism: on identity discourse and the built environment* (Westport, CN, Praeger Publishers, 2001).
- Batıbey, K. S., *Batı Trakya Türk Devleti (1918-1920)* (Istanbul, 1979).
- Bax, M., 'Religious regimes and state-formation: toward a research perspective', in E. Wolf, *Religious regimes and state-formation: perspectives from European ethnology* (Albany, SUNY Press, 1991) pp. 7-28.
- Bender, B. and M. Winer (eds) *Contested landscapes: movement, exile and place* (Oxford, Berg, 2001).
- Benvenisti, M., *Sacred landscape: the buried history of the Holy Land since 1948* (Berkeley, University of California Press, 2000).
- Berkes, N. (ed.) *Turkish Nationalism and Western civilization: selected essays of Ziya Gökalp* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1959).
- Türkiyede Çağdaşlaşma* (Ankara, Bilgi Yayınevi, 1973).
- Biggs, M., 'Putting the state on the map: cartography, territory, and European state formation', *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, vol. 41, no. 2, 1999, pp. 374-405.
- Birtek, F., 'Greek bull in the china shop of Ottoman grand illusion: Greece in the making of modern Turkey', in F. Birtek and T. Dragonas (eds) *Citizenship and the nation-state in Greece and Turkey* (Oxford, Routledge, 2005) pp. 37-48.
- Birtek, F. and T. Dragonas (eds) *Citizenship and the nation-state in Greece and Turkey* (London, Routledge, 2005).
- Blau, P. M. and W. R. Scott, *Formal organizations: a comparative approach* (Stanford, Stanford University Press, 1962).
- Bloch, E., *The principle of hope* (Oxford, Blackwell, 1986).
- Bölükbaşı, D., *Turkey and Greece: the Aegean disputes* (London, Routledge Cavendish, 2004).
- Bora, T., 'Istanbul of the conqueror: the "alternative global city" dreams of political Islam', in Ç. Keyder (ed.) *Istanbul: between the global and the local* (Lanham, MD, Rowman & Littlefield 1999).

REFERENCES

- 'Romantik Anti-Kapitalizme İhtiyacımız Yok mu?' *Birikim*, no. 228, April 2008, pp. 14–22.
- Bouzakis, S., *Η πανεπιστημιακή εκπαίδευση στην Ελλάδα* (Athens, 2006).
- Boym, S., *The future of nostalgia* (New York, Basic Books, 2001).
- Bozdoğan, S., *Modernism and nation building: Turkish architectural culture in the early republic* (Washington, University of Washington Press, 2001).
- Brancoff, D. M., *La Macédoine et sa population Chretienne* (Paris, 1905).
- Braude, B., 'Foundation myths of the millet system', in B. Braude and B. Lewis (eds) *Christians and Jews in the Ottoman Empire: the functioning of a plural society* (New York, Holmes & Meier Publishers, 1982) vol. 1, pp. 69–88.
- Braude, B. and B. Lewis, 'Introduction', in B. Braude and B. Lewis (eds) *Christians and Jews in the Ottoman Empire*, vol. 1 (New York, Holmes & Meier Publishers, 1982), pp. 1–33.
- Braudel, F., 'La longue durée, cette route essentielle de l'histoire', *Histoire et sciences sociales: la longue durée*, 'Ecrits sur l'histoire' (Paris, Flammarion, 1969).
- La civilisation matérielle: économie et capitalisme XV-XVIII. Les structures du quotidienne* (Paris, Armand-Colin, 1979).
- Brown, P., 'Charmed lives', *New York Review of Books*, 12 April 2001.
- Brubaker, R., *Nationalism reframed: nationhood and the national question in the new Europe* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1996).
- Bryant, R., *Imagining the modern: the cultures of nationalism in Cyprus* (London, I.B.Tauris, 2004).
- Bryer, A., 'The great idea: the Greeks and Byzantium', *History Today*, vol. 15, no. 3, 1965, pp. 159–68.
- Burmov, T., *Balgaro-grackata carkovna rasprja* (Sofia, 1902).
- Caratheodory-Rodopoulou, D. and D. Karastergiou-Vasvateki, *Κωνσταντίνος Καραθεοδωρή* (Athens, 2001).
- Castoriadis, C., *L'institution imaginaire de la société* (Paris, Seuil, 1975).
- Çelik, Z., *The remaking of Istanbul: portrait of an Ottoman city in the nineteenth century* (Berkeley, University of California Press, 1993).
- Centeno, M., *Blood and debt: war and the nation-state in Latin America* (University Park, Pennsylvania State University Press, 2002).
- Çetinsaya, G., 'Rethinking nationalism and Islam: some preliminary notes on the roots of "Turkish-Islamic synthesis"', *The Muslim World*, vol. 89, nos 3–4, 1999, pp. 350–76.
- Cevdet Paşa, *Tezakir*, vol. 2, no. 28 (Ankara, Turk Tarih Kurumu, 1986).

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- Chazan, N. (ed.) *Irredentism and international politics* (Boulder, CO, Lynne Rienner, 1991).
- Christovassilis, C., *Η αγάπη: ο αντρεωμένος, η ανέραστη, η μεγάλη ιδέα* (Athens, 1906).
- Çınar, A., 'National history as a contested site: the conquest of Istanbul and Islamist negotiations of the nation', *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, vol. 43, no. 2, 2001, pp. 363–91.
- Clogg, R., 'The Byzantine legacy in the modern Greek world: the Megali Idea', in L. Clucas (ed.) *The Byzantine legacy in Eastern Europe* (Boulder, East European Monographs, 1988) pp. 253–81.
- Çolak, Y., 'Language policy and official ideology in early republican Turkey', *Middle Eastern Studies*, vol. 40, no. 6, November 2004, pp. 67–91.
- Couloumbis, T., *The Cyprus problem: mistakes, lessons and prospects* (Athens, Sideris, 1996, in Greek).
- Crampton, R. J., *Bulgaria* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2007).
- Crawshaw, N., *The Cyprus revolt* (Boston, Allen & Unwin, 1978).
- Dafnis, G., *Greece between two wars, 1923–1940* (Athens, Ikaros, 1955) (in Greek).
- Darendelioğlu, İ., *Türkiye'de Milliyetçilik Hareketleri* (İstanbul: Tokar, 1968).
- Davison, R., 'The millets as agents of change in the 19th century Ottoman Empire', in B. Lewis and B. Braude (eds) *Christians and Jews in the Ottoman Empire*, vol. 1 (New York, Holmes & Meier Publishers, 1982) pp. 319–37.
- Davutoğlu, A., *Stratejik Derinlik: Türkiyenin Uluslararası Konumu* (İstanbul, Küre Yayınları, 2004).
- Dede, A., *Balkanlar'da Türk istiklâl hareketleri* (İstanbul, 1978) pp. 15–30.
- De Jong, F., *Names, religious denomination and ethnicity of settlements in Western Thrace* (Leiden, E. J. Brill, 1980).
- Delikanis, K., *Patriarhikon eggrafon tomos tritos itoi ta en tois kodixi tou Patrarhikou Arxeiofylakeiou sozomena episima ekkhlsiastika eggrafa ta aforonta eis tas sxeseis tou Oikoumenikou Patriarheiou pros tas Ekklesias Rosias, Vlahias kai Moldavias, Servias, Ahridon kai Pekiou, 1564–1863* (Constantinople, 1905).
- Delta, P. S., *Αλληλογραφία* (Athens, Estia, 1997, first published 1957).
- Demir, A., *Through the ages Antakya* (İstanbul, Akbank, 1996).
- Deringil, S., *The well protected domains* (London, I.B.Tauris, 1998).
- Diamandouros, N. 'Περίοδος συνταγματικής μοναρχίας', *Ιστορία του*

REFERENCES

- ελληνικού έθνους, vol.13 (Athens, Ekdotiki Athinon, 1977) pp. 105–32.
- Dimaras, K. T., *Ελληνικός Ρωμαντισμός* (Athens, 1982).
- Κωνσταντίνος Παπαρρηγόπουλος. Η εποχή του - η ζωή του - το έργο του (Athens, Cultural Foundation of the National Bank of Greece, 1986).
- ‘Η ιδεολογική υποδομή του νέου ελληνικού κράτους’, *Ελληνικός Ρωμαντισμός* (Athens, Ermis, 1994).
- Dimokratia, E., Y. Exoterikon, E. Ypiresia and T. N. Symvaseon (eds) *Praxeis pou yprografikan sti Lozanni stis 30 Ianouariou kai 24 Iouliou 1923* (Athens, 1992).
- Doğan, D. M., ‘Nurettin Topçu’, in *İslamcılık* (İstanbul, İletişim, 2006), pp. 438–50.
- Dracklé, D., I. R. Edgar and T. K. Schippers, *Educational histories of European social anthropology* (New York, Waxmann Munster, 2003).
- Dragoumis, I., *Ελληνικός πολιτισμός* (Athens, Nea Thesis, 1991, first published 1914).
- Ο Ελληνισμός μου και οι Έλληνες (Athens, Nea Thesis, 1991).
- Η Μεγάλη Ιδέα (n.p., 1908) pp. 1–10.
- Driault, E., *Histoire diplomatique de la Grèce de 1821 à nos jours*, vol. 5, *La Grèce et la Grande Guerre, de la révolution turque à Lausanne, 1908–1923* (Paris, PUF, 1926).
- Eberhard, W., ‘Nomads and farmers in southeastern Turkey’, *Oriens*, vol. 6 (Leiden, E. J. Brill, 1953).
- Economides, C., *Demythologized history of the Cyprus problem over the last 50 years* (Nicosia, Economides Publishing House, 1993, in Greek).
- El Kadi, G. and D. ErKerdany, ‘Belle-epoque Cairo: the politics of refurbishing the downtown business district’, in D. Singerman and P. Amar, *Cairo cosmopolitan* (Cairo, The American University in Cairo Press, 2006).
- Evans, P., D. Rueschemeyer and T. Skocpol (eds) *Bringing the state back in* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1985).
- Faroqhi, S., ‘Traders and customs officials in 1660s Iskenderun’, *New Perspectives on Turkey*, vols 5–6 (Fall) 1991.
- Fawaz, L. T., *Merchants and migrants in 19th century Beirut* (Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 1983).
- Finefrock, M., ‘Atatürk, Lloyd George and the Megali Idea: cause and consequence of the Greek plan to seize Constantinople from the Allies, June–August 1922’, *Journal of Modern History*, vol. 52, no. 1,

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- 1980, pp. D1047–66.
- Fleming, K. E., 'Athens, Constantinople, "Istambol": urban paradigms and nineteenth-century Greek national identity', *New Perspectives on Turkey*, vol. 22, 2000, pp. 1–24.
- Foucault, M., *"Il faut défendre la société" cours au Collège de France, 1976* (Paris, Gallimard-Seuil, 1997).
- Frangoudaki, A. and Ç. Keyder (eds) *Ways to modernity in Greece and Turkey: encounters with Europe, 1850–1950* (London, I.B.Tauris & Co Ltd, 2007).
- Frantzis, A., *Epitomi tis istorias tis anagennithisis Ellados*, vol. 3 (Athens, 1841).
- Frazer, C., *The Orthodox Church and independent Greece 1821–1852* (London, Cambridge University Press, 1969).
- Gedeon, M., *Eggrafa patriarhika kai synodika peri tou Voulgarikou Zitimatou* (Constantinople, 1908).
- Gefou-Madianou, D., 'Mirroring ourselves through Western texts: the limits of an indigenous anthropology', in H. Driessen (ed.) *The politics of ethnographic reading and writing* (Saarbrücken, Verlag Breitenbach, 1993).
- 'Disciples, discipline and reflection: anthropological encounters and trajectories', in M. Strathern (ed.) *Audit cultures* (London, Athlone Press, 2000).
- Gellner, E., *Nations and nationalism* (Oxford, Blackwell, 1983).
- Georgantzis, P. (ed.) *Proxenika Arheia Thrakis*, vol. 3 (Xanthi, 2000).
- Georghallides, G. S., *A political and administrative history of Cyprus 1918–1926: with a survey of the foundations of British rule* (Nicosia, Cyprus Research Centre, 1979).
- Georgiadou, M., *Constantin Caratheodory: mathematics and politics in turbulent times* (Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 2004).
- Georgiev, V. and S. Trifonov, *Pokrastvaneto na Balgaritemohamedani 1912–1913. Dokumenti* (Sofia, 1995)
- (eds) *Istorija na Balgarite v dokumenti, 1878–1944*, vol. I (1878–1912), part II (*Balgarite v Makedonija, Trakiya i Dobudza*) (Sofia, 1996).
- Geragas, K., *Anamniseis ek Thrakis 1920–1922* (Athens, 1925).
- Ginio, E., 'Mobilizing the Ottoman nation during the Balkan wars (1912–1913): awakening from the Ottoman dream', *War in History*, vol. 12, no. 2, 2005, pp. 156–77.
- Giray, H., 'Önsöz', *KKTC Coğrafi İsimler Katalogu (Cilt-III) Girne İlçesi* (Lefkoşa, Devlet Basımevi, 1999).

REFERENCES

- Goffman, D., 'İzmir: from village to colonial port city', in E. Eldem, D. Goffman and B. Masters (eds) *The Ottoman city between East and West: Aleppo, İzmir and Istanbul* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- Gonatas, S., 'Anamniseis ek Thrakis kai i tyhi tis epi ton imeron mas...', *Θρακικά*, vol. 25, 1956.
- Gorski, P., 'Calvinism and state-formation in early modern Europe', in G. Steinmetz (ed.) *State/culture: state-formation after the cultural turn* (Ithaca, Cornell University Press, 1999) pp. 147–81.
- Gottdiener, M., *The theming of America: dreams, visions, and commercial spaces* (Boulder, Westview, 1997).
- Gounaris, B. K., *Τα Βαλκάνια των ελλήνων: από το διαφωτισμό έως τον Α' παγκόσμιο πόλεμο* (Thessaloniki, 2007).
- 'Selanik', in Çağlar Keyder, Eyüp Özveren and Donald Quataert (eds) *Doğu Akdeniz'de Liman Kentler, 1800-1914* (Istanbul, Tarih Vakfı Yurt Yayınları, 1993) pp. 103–20.
- Gourgouris, S., *Dream nation: enlightenment, colonization, and the institution of modern Greece* (Stanford, Stanford University Press, 1996).
- Güçlü, Y., *The question of the sanjak of Alexandretta* (Ankara, Türk Tarih Kurumu, 2001).
- Hadrovics, L., *Le peuple serbe et son Église sous la domination turque* (Paris, Presses universitaires de France, 1947).
- Hannay, D., *Cyprus: the search for a solution* (London, I.B.Tauris, 2005).
- Hannigan, J., *Fantasy city: pleasure and profit in the postmodern metropolis* (London, Routledge, 1998).
- Harley, J. B., *The new nature of maps: essays in the history of cartography*, edited by Paul Laxton (Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press, 2001).
- Heinz, J. P., E. O. Laumann, R. H. Salisbury and R. L. Nelson, 'Inner circles or hollow cores? Elite networks in national policy systems', *Journal of Politics*, vol. 52, no. 2, 1990, pp. 356–84.
- Heraclides, A., *The Cyprus problem: conflict and solution* (Athens, Sideris, 2002, in Greek).
- Herb, G., 'National identity and territory', in G. Herb and D. Kaplan (eds) *Nested identities: nationalism, territory and scale* (Oxford, Rowman & Littlefield, 1999).
- Herzfeld, M., *Ours once more: folklore, ideology and the making of modern Greece* (New York, Pella, 1986).
- Anthropology through the looking glass: critical ethnography in the margins*

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- of Europe* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1987).
- Hetherington, K., 'In place of geometry: the materiality of place', in K. Hetherington and R. Munro (eds) *Ideas of difference* (Oxford, Blackwell, 1997).
- Hidioglou, P., 'Soultanika veratia', *Epetitis Kentrou Epistimonikon Ereunon* (Nicosia) vol. 7, 1973–75, pp. 119–250.
- Hirsch, E., 'Introduction: landscape: between place and space,' in E. Hirsch and M. O'Hanlon (eds) *The anthropology of landscape: perspectives on place and space* (Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1995).
- Hirsch, E. and M. O'Hanlon (eds) *The anthropology of landscape: perspectives on place and space* (Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1995).
- Hirst, P., *Space and power: politics, war and architecture* (Cambridge, Polity Press, 2005).
- Hobsbawm, E. and T. Ranger, *The invention of tradition* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1983).
- Hooson, D. (ed.) *Geography and national identity* (Oxford, Blackwell Publishers, 1994).
- 'Introduction', in D. Hooson (ed.) *Geography and national identity* (Oxford, Blackwell Publishers, 1994).
- Houston, C., 'Brewing of Islamist modernity: tea gardens and public space in Istanbul', *Theory, Culture and Society*, vol. 18, no. 6, 2001, pp. 77–97.
- Hristodoulou, M., *I Thraki kai ai Saranta Ekkhhsiai meta tinon dioikitikon zitimatou* (Constantinople, 1897).
- Huysen, A., 'Present pasts: media, politics, amnesia', *Public Culture*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2000, pp. 21–38.
- Present pasts: urban palimpsests and the politics of memory in the present* (California, Stanford University Press, 2003).
- Iliopoulos, D. E., *Ιωάννης Κωλέττης. Μελέτη αναγνωσθείσα εν τω Συλλόγω Παρνασσώ τη 10 Μαρτίου Ε. Ε.* (Athens, Tipografia Vassilikis Avlis Nikolaou G. Igglessi, 1890).
- Iliou, I., *Ιδεολογικές χρήσεις του κοραϊσμού στον 20ο αιώνα* (Ideological uses of Koratism in the twentieth century) (Athens, Vivliorama, 2003).
- İpek, N., *Rumeli'den Anadolu'ya Türk Göçleri* (Ankara, Türk Tarih Kurumu, 1994).
- Istituto Geografico de Agostini (ed.) *Vilayet d'Andrianople, sandjak indépendant de Tchataldja et partie Européenne du vilayet de Constantinople (carte des écoles grecques et bulgares et des églises*

REFERENCES

- grecques*) (Rome, 1906).
- Islam, tarih, sanat ve kültürü Araştırma Merkezi (ed.) *Osmanlı yıllıkları (Salnameler ve Nevsaller)* (Istanbul, 1982) pp. 229–56.
- Itzkowitz, N., *Ottoman empire and Islamic tradition* (Chicago, Chicago University Press, 1972).
- Joseph, J. S., *Cyprus: ethnic conflict and international politics: from independence to the threshold of the European Union* (London, Macmillan, 1997).
- Kalfoglous I. I., *Ιστορική Γεωγραφία της Μικρασιατικής Χερσονήσου* (Athens, 1899, reprinted 2007).
- Kantorowicz, E., *Mourir pour la patrie et autres textes* (Paris, PUF, 1984).
- Karayovov, T., ‘Materiali za izucavane na Odrinskiya vilayet’, *Sbornik za narodni umotvorenija, nauka I kniznina*, Sofia) vol. 19, 1903.
- Statisticheski svedeniya za naselenieto v Odrinskiya Vilyaet* (Sofia, 1903).
- Karaömerlioğlu, M. A, ‘The people’s houses and the cult of the peasant in Turkey’, *Middle Eastern Studies*, vol. 34, no. 4, 1998, pp. 67–91.
- ‘Agrarian populism as an ideological discourse of interwar Europe’, *New Perspectives on Turkey*, vol. 26, Spring 2002, pp. 59–93.
- Orada Bir Köy Var Uzakta: Erken Cumhuriyet Döneminde Köycü Söylem* (İstanbul, İletişim, 2006).
- ‘Turkey’s “Return” to multi-party politics: a social interpretation’, *East European Quarterly*, vol. 40, no. 1, Spring 2006, pp. 89–107.
- Karavas, S., ‘Οι εθνογραφικές περιπέτειες του “ελληνισμού” (1876–1878) Α’, *Τα Ιστορικά*, vol. 36, 2002, pp. 23–74.
- ‘Οι εθνογραφικές περιπέτειες του “ελληνισμού” (1876–1878) Β’, *Τα Ιστορικά*, vol. 38, 2003, pp. 49–112.
- ‘Ο Κωνσταντίνος Παπαρρηγόπουλος και οι εθνικές διεκδικήσεις (1877–1885)’, in P. M. Kitromilides and T. Sklavenitis (eds) *Ιστοριογραφία της νεότερης και σύγχρονης Ελλάδας 1833–2002*, vol. A (Athens, Institute for Neohellenic Research-National Hellenic Research Foundation, 2004).
- Karavidas, K., ‘Παρατηρήσεις περί της φύσεως και της μελλοντικής χρησιμότητος των ιστορικών κοινοτικών οργανισμών ως και περί της προϊούσης πολιτικής χειραγωγήσεως των επί της Ιωνίας ομογενών κοινοτήτων’, unpublished ms (Athens, 1922).
- ‘Η πατριωτική επανάσταση και τα κόμματα’, *Κοινότης*, A/8, 20 November 1922, pp. 3–7.
- ‘Η Μακεδονοσλαβική αγροτική κοινότης και η πατριαρχική οικογένεια εις την περιφέρεια Μοναστηρίου’, *Αρχείον Οικονομικών*

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- και Κοινωνικών Επιστημών, vol. 6, 1926, pp. 227–314.
- Karidis, S. K., *Ωδή ο Ιωάννης Κωλέττης* (Athens, 1847).
- Karpat, K., *Turkey's politics: the transition to a multi-party system* (Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1959).
- An inquiry into the social foundations of nationalism in the Ottoman State: from social estates to classes, from millets to nations*, Research Monograph (Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, Center for International Studies) no. 39 (Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1973).
- 'Ottoman population records and the census of 1881/2–1893', *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, vol. 9, 1978, pp. 237–74.
- Ottoman population 1830–1914: demographic and social characteristics* (Madison, University of Wisconsin Press, 1985).
- Kartari, A., 'Ideologie in der türkischen Ethnologie und Folkloristik', *Ethnologia Balkanica*, vol. 2, 1998, pp. 57–68.
- Kasaba, R., 'Economic foundations of a civil society: Greeks in trade of western Anatolia', in D. Gondicas and C. Issawi (eds) *Ottoman Greeks in the age of nationalism* (Princeton, The Darwin Press, 1999) pp. 77–87.
- 'The Enlightenment, Greek civilization, and the Ottoman Empire', *The Journal of Historical Sociology*, vol. 16, no. 1, March 2003, pp. 1–21.
- 'Antakya'da Farklı Kimlikler: Tarihsel Bir Bakış', in Eyüp Özveren, Oktay Özel, Süha Ünsal and Kudret Emiroğlu (eds) *Akdeniz Dünyası: Düşünce, Tarih, Görünüm* (Istanbul, İletişim, 2006) pp. 199–214.
- Kazazis, N., *Εθνική κατήχησις* (Athens, Kousoulinos, 1898).
- Ο Ελληνισμός εν τη Χερσονήσω του Αίμου* (Εντυπώσεις ταξιδίου) (Athens, Anaplasia, 1899).
- Το δηνάριον της Ορθοδοξίας* (Athens, Sakellarios, 1901).
- Ο κοινοβουλευτισμός εν Ελλάδι* (Athens, Panellinion Kratos, 1910).
- Kechriotis, V., 'La Smyrne grecque: des communautés au panthéon de l'histoire', in M. C. Smyrnelis (ed.) *Smyrne, la ville oubliée? Mémoires d'un grand port ottoman, 1830–1930* (Paris, Éditions Autrement, 2006).
- Kedourie, E., *Nationalism* (Athens, Katarti Press, 1999).
- Kerlin, M. J., 'Maurice Blondel: 100 years after "l'action"', *America*, vol. 168, no. 21, 19 June 1993.
- Keyder, Ç. (ed.) *Istanbul: between the local and the global* (Oxford, Rowman & Littlefield, 1999).
- 'The consequences of the exchange of populations for Turkey', in Renée Hirschon (ed.) *Crossing the Aegean: an appraisal of the 1923 compulsory population exchange between Greece and Turkey* (London,

REFERENCES

- Berghahn Books, 2003).
- 'A history and geography of Turkish nationalism', in F. Birtek and T. Dragonas (eds) *Citizenship and nation-state in Greece and Turkey* (London, Routledge, 2005) pp. 3–17.
- Keyder, Ç., E. Özveren and D. Quataert, 'Osmanlı İmparatorluğu'nda liman kentleri: bazı kuramsal ve tarihsel perspektifler', in Ç. Keyder, E. Özveren and D. Quataert (eds) *Doğu Akdeniz'de Liman Kentleri 1800-1914* (Istanbul, Tarih Vakfı Yurt Yayınları, 2000) pp. 121–57.
- Khoury, P., *Syria and the French Mandate* (Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1987).
- Kinross, Lord, *Europe minor: journeys in coastal Turkey* (London, Travel Book Club, n.d.).
- Kiril [Patriarh Balgarski], *Balgaromohamedanski selista v Juzni Rodopi (Ksantijsko i Gjumjurdzinsko). Toponimno, etnografsko i istoricesko izsledvane* (Sofia, 1960).
- Balgarskata Ekzarkhiya v Odrinska Trakiya i Makedoniya sled osvoboditelnata vojna 1877-1878*, vol. 1, no. 1, (Sofia, 1969).
- Kitromilides, P. M., 'The dialectic of intolerance: ideological dimensions of ethnic conflict', *Journal of the Hellenic Diaspora*, vol. 6, no. 4, 1979, pp. 5–30.
- 'Greek irredentism in Asia Minor and Cyprus', *Middle Eastern Studies*, vol. 26, no. 1, 1990, pp. 3–15.
- "'Imagined communities'" and the origins of the national question in the Balkans', in M. Blinkhorn and T. Veremis (eds) *Modern Greece: nationalism and nationality* (Athens, Sage-Eliaep, 1990).
- 'On the intellectual content of Greek nationalism: Papparrigopoulos, Byzantium and the Great Idea', in D. Ricks and P. Magdalino (eds) *Byzantium and the modern Greek identity* (Aldershot, Ashgate, 1998) pp. 25–33.
- Kitromilides, P. and A. Alexandris, 'Ethnic survival, nationalism and forced migration: the historical demography of the Greek community of Asia Minor at the close of the Ottoman era', *Δελτίο Κέντρου Μικρασιατικών Σπουδών*, vol. 5, 1984–85, pp. 9–44.
- Kokkinos, G., *Ο πολιτικός ανορθολογισμός στην Ελλάδα. Το έργο και η σκέψη του Νεοκλή Καζάζη (1849-1936)* (Athens, Trochalia, 1996).
- Köksal, Y., 'Imperial center and local groups: Tanzimat reforms in the provinces of Edirne and Ankara', *New Perspectives on Turkey*, vol. 27, 2002, pp. 107–38.

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- 'Local intermediaries and Ottoman state centralization: comparison of the Tansimart reforms in the provinces of Edirne and Ankara' (New York, Columbia University, 2002) unpublished Ph.D. thesis
- 'Rethinking empire and nationalism: state projects and local identities in the nineteenth century Ottoman Empire', *American Behavioral Scientist*, vol. 51, no. 1, 2008, pp. 1498–515.
- Köksal, Y. and D. Erkan, *Sadrazam Kıbrıslı Mehmed Emin Paşa'nın Rumeli Teftişi* (Istanbul, Boğaziçi Üniversitesi Yayınları, 2007).
- Kolluoğlu, B. K., 'Modern spaces, cityscapes and modernity: Smyrna morphing into İzmir', in A. Frangoudaki and Ç. Keyder (eds) *Ways to modernity in Greece and Turkey: Encounters with Europe, 1850–1950* (London, I.B.Tauris, 2007) pp. 217–35.
- Komninou, M., 'Εισαγωγή: Η σκέψη του Καραβίδα και η προβληματική των κοινωνικών επιστημών', in M. Komninou and E. Papataxiarchis (eds) *Κοινότητα, Κοινωνία και Ιδεολογία* (Athens, 1990).
- Kondoleon, C., *Antioch: the lost ancient city* (Princeton, Princeton University Press, 2000).
- Konortas, P., *Othomanikes theoriseis gia to Oikoumeniko Patriarheio. Veratia gia tous prokathimenous ths Megalhs Ekklisias (17os-arhes 20ou aiona)* (Athens, Alexandria, 1998)
- 'From Tâ'ife to Millet: Ottoman terms for the Ottoman Greek Orthodox community', in Dimitri Gondicas and Charles Issawi (eds) *Ottoman Greeks in the age of nationalism: politics, economy and society in the nineteenth century* (Princeton, Darwin Press, 1999).
- Kontogiorgi, E., *Population exchange in Greek Macedonia: the rural settlement of refugees 1922–1930* (Oxford, Clarendon Press, 2006).
- Kontoyiannis, P. M., *Γεωγραφία της Μικράς Ασίας* (Athens, 1921, reprinted 1995).
- Koselleck, R., *Le futur passé: contribution à la sémantique des temps historiques* (Paris, Editions de l'EHESS, 2000).
- The practice of conceptual history: timing history, spacing concepts* (Stanford, Stanford University Press, 2002).
- Kostis, K., 'Ο Καραβίδας και η "ανακάλυψη" των χωρικών στη μεσοπολεμική Ελλάδα', in M. Komninou and E. Papataxiarchis (eds) *Κοινότητα, Κοινωνία και Ιδεολογία* (Athens, 1990).
- Kostis, K., 'Communities, church and millet in the "Greek" territories of the Ottoman empire during the Reform era', *Mnimon*, vol. 13, 1991, pp. 57–75.
- Kotzageorgi, X. and A. Panagiotopoulou, *Neoteri kai syghroni istoria tis*

REFERENCES

- Thrakis: Vivliografikos odigos* (Thessaloniki, 1983).
- Koulouri, C., *Dimensions idéologiques de l'historicité en Grèce (1834-1914): les manuels scolaires d'histoire et de géographie* (Frankfurt, Peter Lang, 1991).
- Dimensions idéologiques de l'historicité en Grèce, 1834-1914: les manuels scolaires d'histoire et de géographie* (Frankfurt am Main, 1991).
- Kuper, A., *Anthropology and anthropologists: the modern British school* (London, Routledge, 1983).
- Kutay, C., *1913'te Garbi Trakya'da ilk Türk Cumhuriyeti* (Istanbul, 1962).
- Kyriakidis, S., *kai oi Voulgaroi* (Athens, 1919, reprinted 1997).
- Kyriakidou-Nestoros, A., *Η θεωρία της ελληνικής λαογραφίας* (Athens, 1978).
- Landau, J., *The politics of pan-Islam: ideology and organization* (Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1994).
- Pan-Turkism: from irredentism to cooperation* (Bloomington, Indiana University Press, 1995).
- Le Grys, J., 'The Christianization of modern philosophy according to Maurice Blondel', *Theological Studies*, vol. 54, no. 3, September 1993.
- Lekkas, P., *Ι ethnikistiki ideologia. Pente ypoheseis ergasias stin istoriki koinoniologia* (Athens, Mnimon, 1992).
- Leo, O. L., *Shanghai modern: the flowering of new urban culture in China, 1930-1945* (Cambridge, MA, Harvard University Press, 2000).
- Leontaritis, G., *Greece and the First World War: from neutrality to intervention, 1917-1918* (Boulder, CO, East European Monographs, 1990).
- Leontis, A., 'Minor field, major territories: dilemmas in modernizing hellenism', *Journal of Modern Greek Studies*, vol. 8, no. 1, 1990, pp. 35-63.
- Topographies of Hellenism: mapping the homeland* (Ithaca, Cornell University Press, 1995).
- Lepetit, B., *Les villes dans la France moderne (1750-1840)* (Paris, Albin Michel, 1988).
- Levitas, R., *The concept of utopia* (New York, Philip Allan, 1990).
- Lewis, B., *The emergence of modern Turkey* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1968).
- Liakos, A., *Η Ιταλική ενοποίηση και η Μεγάλη Ιδέα 1859-1862* (Athens, Themelio, 1985).
- 'The construction of national time: the making of the Modern Greek historical imagination', in J. Revel and G. Levi (eds) *Political uses of the past: the recent Mediterranean experience* (London, Frank Cass,

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- 2002), pp. 27–42.
- Loizos, P., ‘The progress of Greek nationalism in Cyprus: 1878–1970’, in J. Davis (ed.) *Choice and change: essays in honour of Lucy Mair* (London, Athlone, 1974).
- ‘Intercommunal killing in Cyprus’, *Man*, vol. 23, 1988, pp. 639–53.
- Loraux, N. and P. Vidal-Naquet, ‘La formation de l’Athènes bourgeoise: essai d’historiographie 1750–1850’, in R. R. Bolgar (ed.) *Classical influences on Western thought AD 1650–1870* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1978) pp. 169–222.
- Loukatos, D., *Λαογραφία - Εθνογραφία* (Ioannina, 1968).
- Lountzis, E., *Το μέλλον του ελληνικού έθνους εκ του παρελθόντος εξαγόμενον* (Zakynthos, Parnassos, 1851).
- Περί της πολιτικής καταστάσεως της Επτανήσου επί Ενετών* (Athens, Nikolaidis Filadelfeus, 1856).
- Lowenthal, D., ‘European and English landscapes as national symbols’, in D. Hooson (ed.) *Geography and national identity* (Oxford, Blackwell Publishers, 1994).
- Makridis, V., ‘Ecclesiastical and religious history of modern and contemporary Greece: differences, specificities and problems of development’, in P. Kitromilides and T. Sklavenitis (eds) *Historiography of modern and contemporary Greece 1833–2002: proceedings of the 4th International Historical Conference*, vol. 2, Athens, INE-EIE, 2004, pp. 587–620 (in Greek).
- Mamoni, K., *Syllogoi Thrakis kai Anatolikas Romylias 1861–1922* (Thessaloniki, 1995).
- Manesis, A., ‘Το πολίτευμα του Ρήγα’, *Anti*, vol. 652, 16 January 1998, pp. 22–5.
- Mansel, P., *Constantinople: the city of the world’s desire, 1453–1924* (New York, St Martin’s Press, 1996).
- Mantouvalou, M., ‘Romainos-Romios-Romiosyni: la notion de “Romain” avant et après la chute de Constantinople’, *Epistimoniki Epetiris tis Filosofikis Sholis tou Panepistimiou Athinon* (Athens), per. B, vol. 28, 1979–85, pp. 169–98.
- Marin, L., *Utopics: the semiological play of textual spaces* (New York, Humanity Books, 1984).
- ‘Frontiers of utopia: past and present’, *Critical Inquiry*, vol. 19, no. 3, 1993, pp. 397–420.
- Marketos, S., ‘Η ίδρυση του Πανεπιστημίου Θεσσαλονίκης: μια πολιτική επιλογή’, paper presented at the conference University

REFERENCES

- and Transformation in Greece, University of Athens, 7–8 June 2007 (Athens).
- Markides, K., 'Social change and the rise and decline of social movements', *American Ethnologist*, vol. 1, no. 2, 1974, pp. 309–30.
- The rise and fall of the Cyprus republic* (New Haven, Yale University Press, 1977).
- Markova, Z., *Balgarskata Ekzarkhiya 1870–1879* (Sofia, 1989).
- Massignon, L., 'Hareket Felsefesi', in N. Topçu, *Milliyetçiliğimizin Esasları* (İstanbul, Dergah Yayınları, 1978) pp. 45–7.
- Matalas, P., *Nation and orthodoxy: the adventure of a relationship* (Heraklion, University of Crete Press, 2002).
- Mavratsas, C., 'Approaches to nationalism: basic theoretical considerations in the study of the Greek-Cypriot case and a historical overview', *Journal of the Hellenic Diaspora*, vol. 22, no. 1, 1996, pp. 77–102.
- 'The ideological contest between Greek-Cypriot nationalism and Cypriotism', *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 20, no. 4, 1997, pp. 717–37.
- Facets of Greek nationalism in Cyprus: ideological contest and the social construction of Greek-Cypriot identity 1974–1996* (Athens, Katarti Press, 1998, in Greek).
- 'National identity and consciousness in everyday life: toward a sociology of knowledge of Greek-Cypriot nationalism', *Nations and Nationalism*, vol. 5, no. 1, 1999, pp. 91–104.
- 'Greek-Cypriot identity and conflicting interpretations of the Cyprus problem', in D. Keridis and D. Triantaphyllou (eds) *Greek-Turkish relations in the era of globalization* (Dulles, Virginia, Brassey's, 2001).
- National unanimity and political consensus: the atrophy of Greek-Cypriot civil society at the dawn of the twenty-first century* (Athens, Katarti Press, 2003, in Greek).
- 'The Cyprus conflict: national mythologies and real tragedies', in Judy Carter, George Irani and Vamik D. Volkan (eds) *Regional and ethnic conflicts: perspectives from the front lines* (Upper Saddle River, Pearson Prentice Hall, 2008).
- Mavrogordatos, G., 'Ο Καραβίδας, θεωρητικός και ιδεολόγος του μικροαστισμού', in M. Komninou and E. Papataxiarchis (eds) *Κοινότητα, Κοινωνία και Ιδεολογία* (Athens, 1990).
- Mavrogordatos G. and C. Hadjiiossif (eds) *Venizelism and bourgeois modernization* (Heraklion, University of Crete Press, 1992) (in Greek).

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- Mazower, M., *The Balkans: from the end of Byzantium to the present day* (London, Phoenix Press, Orion Books, 2001).
The Balkans: a short history (New York, The Modern Library, Random House, 2002).
Salonica, city of ghosts: Christians, Muslims and Jews, 1430-1950 (London, Harper Collins, 2004).
- Megas, G. A., 'Λαογραφία, Εθνογραφία, Εθνολογία', *Πρακτικά Συνεδρίων του έτους 1966 της Ελληνικής Ανθρωπολογικής Εταιρίας*, (1967) pp. 22-7.
- Meininger, T., *Ignatiev and the establishment of the Bulgarian exarchate 1864-1872* (Madison, State Historical Society, 1970).
- Melirrytos, M., *Perigrifi istoriki kai geografiki yp' ekklisiastikin epopsin tis theosostou eparhias Maroneias...* (Constantinople, 1871).
- Meraklis, M., 'Οι θεωρητικές κατευθύνσεις της λαογραφίας', *Λαογραφία KZ* (1971) pp. 2-23.
- Metallinos, G., *The ecclesiastical assimilation of the ionian islands as a development of the Greek autocephalous* (Athens-Trikkala, Prototypes Thessalikes Ekdoseis, 2004) (in Greek).
- Metaxakis, M., *The holy mountain and Russian policy in the East* (Athens, Sakellarios, 1913) (in Greek).
- Michailidis, I., 'The war of statistics: traditional recipes for the preparation of the Macedonian salad', *East European Quarterly*, vol. 32, no. 1, 1998, pp. 9-21.
'Μειονοτικές ευαισθησίες και εκπαιδευτικά προβλήματα: η περίπτωση του Abecedar', in K. Tsitselikis (ed.) *Γλώσσες, αλφάβητα και εθνική ιδεολογία στην Ελλάδα και τα Βαλκάνια* (Athens, 1999).
- Migdal, J., A. Kohi and V. Shue (eds) *State power and social forces: domination and transformation* (New York, Cambridge University Press, 1944).
- Miletic, L., *Istorijata na 'Gjumjurdzinskata Avtonomija'* (Sofia, 1914).
Razorenieto na Trakijskite Balgari prez 1913 godina (Sofia, 1918).
- Millon, C., 'Dénationaliser les Balkans? Poser les bases d'un état multinational où sont dissociés l'état et la nation', *Le Monde*, Paris, 1999, p. 18.
- Mills, A., 'Boundaries of the nation in the space of the urban: landscape and social memory in Istanbul', *Cultural Geographies*, vol. 13, 2006, pp. 367-94.
- Ministerstvo na Vatreznite Raboti i Narodnoto Zdrave (ed.) *Spisak na naselenite mesta v novoprisaedinenite kam carstvoto zemi* (Sofia, 1915).

REFERENCES

- Mistakidou, K., *Η Μεγάλη Ιδέα στον Τύπο του γένους. Ο Τύπος στην Ελλάδα και την Οθωμανική Αυτοκρατορία (1800-1923)* (Athens, Pataki, 2004).
- Mollaer, F., *Anadolu Sosyalizmine bir Katkı: Nurettin Topçu Üzerine Yazılar* (İstanbul, Dergah, 2007).
- Mouzelis, N., 'Greek and Bulgarian peasants: aspects of their sociopolitical situation during the interwar period', *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, vol. 18, no. 1, 1976, pp. 85-105.
- Modern Greece: facets of underdevelopment* (London, Macmillan, 1977).
- Mpelia, E., 'Ekthesi Gonata. Thraki. Vilaetion Adrianoupoleos, 1907', *Deltion Istorikis kai Ethnologikis Etaireias tis Ellados*, Athens, vol. 24, 1981.
- Ekpaideusi kai alytrotiki politiki. I periptosi tis Thrakis* (Thessaloniki, Idryma Meleton Hersonisou tou Aimou, 1995).
- Murphey, R., 'The treaty ports and China's modernization', in M. Elvin and G. W. Skinner (eds) *The Chinese city between two worlds* (Stanford, Stanford University Press, 1974) pp. 17-71.
- Nahum, H., *Juifs de Smyrne, XIXe-Xxe siècle* (Paris, Aubier, 1997).
- '1900'e doğru İzmir'de bir Yahudi ailesi', in F. Georgeon and P. Dumont (eds) *Osmanlı İmparatorluğu'nda Yaşamak* (A Jewish family in İzmir towards 1900s) (İstanbul, İletişim, 2000) pp. 197-208.
- Nanakis, A., *Modern historical affairs of the ecumenical Patriarchate*, vol. 2 (Thessaloniki, University Studio Press, 2004).
- Nicholson, H., *Peacemaking 1919* (London, Constable, 1933).
- Nişanyan, S., *Yanlış Cumhuriyet: Atatürk ve Kemalizm Üzerine 51 Soru* (İstanbul, Kırmızı Yayınları, 2008).
- Nitsiakos, V., *Προσανατολισμοί. Μια κριτική εισαγωγή στη Λαογραφία* (Athens, 2008).
- Öğün, S. S., *Türkiye'de Cemaatçi Milliyetçilik ve Nurettin Topçu* (İstanbul, Dergah Yayınları, 1999).
- Oikonomou, K., *Egheiridion peri tis eparhias Filippoupoleos i perhragi autis ...* (Vienna, 1819).
- Öngider, S. (ed.) *İki Şehrin Hikayesi: Ankara İstanbul Çatışması* (İstanbul, Aykırı Yayıncılık, 2003).
- Ortaylı, İ., *Tanzimattan Cumhuriyete Mahalli İdareler* (Local administration from the Tanzimat to the republic) (Ankara, Türk Tarih Kurumu Yayınları, 2000).
- Osmanlı Barışı* (İstanbul, Da Yayıncılık, 2004).
- Özdoğan, G. G., 'Dünyada ve Türkiye'de Turancılık', *Milliyetçilik* (İstanbul, İletişim, 2002) pp. 388-405.

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- Özkırmılı, U., *Contemporary debates on nationalism: a critical engagement* (Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmillan, 2005).
- Paasi, A., 'Geographical perspectives on Finnish national identity', *GeoJournal*, vol. 43, 1997, pp. 41-50.
- 'Territory', in J. A. Agnew, K. Mitchell and G. Toal (eds) *A Companion to political geography* (Oxford, Blackwell, 2003) pp. 109-22.
- Palamas, K., 'Στ' άρματα', *Noumas*, vol. 13, no. 30, 10 October 1915, pp. 369-72.
- Pallis, A., *Στατιστική μελέτη περί φυλετικών μεταναστεύσεων Μακεδονίας και Θράκης κατά την περίοδο 1912-1924* (Athens, 1925).
- Panayotopoulos, A. J., 'The "Great Idea" and the vision of eastern federation: a propos of the views of I. Dragoumis and A. Souliotis-Nicolaidis', *Balkan Studies*, vol. 21, no. 2, 1980, pp. 331-65.
- Panayotopoulos, V., 'Au XVIIIes en Péloponnèse: l'absorption des ressources économiques et humaines par le développement de l'agriculture', *Cahiers de la Méditerranée*, special series no. 3, Nice, Université de Nice, Centre de la Méditerranée Moderne et Contemporaine, 1975, pp. 111-23.
- Papadopoulos, C., 'The question of the Holy Mountain', *Anaplassis*, vol. 26, no. 343, 17 September 1913.
- Papageorghiou, S., *From Zurich to London*, vol. 1 (Athens, Ladias, 1980, in Greek).
- The critical documents of the Cyprus problem*, vol. 1 (Athens, Ladias, 1983, in Greek).
- Papahristodoulou, Polydoros, 'Ο fakellos 434 peri Thrakis tis Vivliothikis tis Voulis', *ATHLGTH*, vol. 18, 1953.
- Papandreou, G., 'Εθνική Επέτειος', Κείμενα 1913-1942, vol. 1 (Athens, Biris, 1963).
- Papataxiarchis, E., 'Η πανεπιστημιακή αποκατάσταση της Κοινωνικής Ανθρωπολογίας στην Ελλάδα', in *Προοπτικές και Μέλλον των Κοινωνικών Επιστημών στην Ελλάδα* (Rethymno, 1999).
- 'La Grèce face a l'altérité', *Ethnologie française*, vol. 102, no. 2, 2005, pp. 203-13.
- Papathanasi-Mousiopolou, K., *Ellinika Proxeneia sti Thraki*, vols 1-2 (Athens, 1876-1977).
- Papazotos, T., 'Το Arheion tis ekpaideutikis kai filanthropikis Adelfotitos Konstantinoupoleos. Organosi tis paideias sti Thraki kata ta teli tou 19ou aiona', *Thrakiki Epetirida*, vol. 9, 1992-94, pp. 2-18.

REFERENCES

- Parla, J., 'From allegory to parable: inscriptions of Anatolia in the Turkish novel', *New Perspectives on Turkey*, vol. 36, 2007, pp. 11–26.
- Parla, T., *The social and political thought of Ziya Gokalp* (Leiden, 1985).
- Patrick, R., *Political geography and the Cyprus conflict: 1963–1971* (Waterloo, Ontario, Department of Geography Publication Series, no. 4, 1989).
- Peckham, R. S., *National histories, natural states: nationalism and the politics of place in Greece* (London, I.B.Tauris, 2001).
- Pelagidis, E., *Η αποκατάσταση των προσφύγων στη δυτική Μακεδονία 1923–1930* (Thessaloniki, 1994).
- Penrose, J., 'Nations, states and homelands: territory and territoriality in nationalist thought', *Nations and Nationalism*, vol. 8, no. 3, 2002, pp. 277–97.
- Pericleous, Chrysostomos, *The referendum of 2004* (Athens, Papazisi, 2007, in Greek).
- Petmezas, S., 'La "commune grecque": une tentative d'histoire des fictions historiographiques', in G. Grivaud and S. Petmezas (eds) *Byzantina et Moderna* (Athens, 2007).
- Poole, R., *Nation and identity* (London, Routledge, 1999).
- Popnikolov, D., *Odrinska Trakiya* (Sofia, 1919).
- Poulantzas, N., *State, power, socialism* (London, Verso, 2000, first published 1978).
- Prime Ministry Archives (BOA) Ayniyat Defterleri, 445, 805, 434. Cevdet Dahiliye, 16651, 1274.
- Psaltis, S., *Η Θράκη και η δύναμις του εν αυτι ελληνικού στοιχείου* (Athens, 1919).
- Pyrgiotakis, I., *Εκπαίδευση και κοινωνία στην Ελλάδα* (Athens, 2001).
- Rahme, J. G., 'Namik Kemal's constitutional Ottomanism and non-Muslims', *Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations*, vol. 10, no. 1, 1999, pp. 23–39.
- Rajcevski, S., *Balgarite Mohamedani* (Sofia, 1998).
- Renan, E., *Qu'est-ce qu'une nation?* (Paris, Presses Pocket, 1992, first published 1882).
- Reszler, A., *Les nouvelles Athènes: histoire d'un mythe culturel européen* (Gollion, Infolio, 2004).
- Sahlins, P., *Boundaries: the making of France and Spain in the Pyrenees* (Berkeley, University of California Press, 1991).
- Saktanber, A., 'Indoor/outdoor? Urban woman goes to socialize: local governments, gender and the reformulation of Islamic neo-liberal-

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- ism through leiscapes', paper presented at the Workshop on the Comparative Urban Landscapes and their Subaltern Citizen-Subjects in the Middle East and South Asia', Istanbul, 29–30 January 2004.
- 'Mekan, millet, muhayyele: Geçmişle gelecek arasında bir kopuş ve süreklilik tasavvuru olarak başkent Ankara', in A. Öncü and O. Tekelioğlu (eds) *Şerif Mardin'e Armağan* (İstanbul, İletişim Yayınları, 2005).
- Schutz, A. and T. Luckmann, *The structures of the life-world*, vol. 2, translated by R. Zaner and D. Parent (Evanston, Illinois, Northwestern University Press, 1989).
- Scott, A., *The cultural economy of cities* (London, Sage, 2000).
- Scott, J. C., *Seeing like a state: how certain schemes to improve the human condition have failed* (New Haven, Yale University Press, 1998).
- Segal, R. and E. Weizman (eds) *A civilian occupation: the politics of Israeli architecture* (London, Verso, 2003).
- Sereni, E., *Storia del paesaggio agrario italiano* (Bari, Laterza, 1964).
- Şerif, A., *Anadolu'da Tanin*, vol. 1 (Ankara, Türk Tarih Kurumu, 1999).
- Seton-Watson, R. W., *The rise of nationality in the Balkans* (London, Constable, 1918).
- Shaw, S., 'The Ottoman census system and population', *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, vol. 9, 1978, pp. 325–38.
- 'Local administration in the Tanzimat', in H. D. Yıldız (ed.) *150. Yılında Tanzimat* (Ankara, Türk Tarih Kurumu Yayınları, 1992).
- Sidera-Lytra, P., A. Sideras and G. Stamou, *Κωνσταντίνου Καραθεοδωρή 50 Επιστολές προς συναδέλφους του στη Γοττίνγη (Göttingen)*, (Thessaloniki, 2004).
- Sigalas, N., "'Hellenism" and hellenization: the formation of the modern Greek concept of hellenism', *Ta Istorika*, vol. 18, 2001, pp. 3–70.
- '"Ελληνισμός" και εξελληνισμός: ο σχηματισμός της νεοελληνικής έννοιας ελληνισμός', *Τα Ιστορικά*, vol. 34, 2001, pp. 3–70.
- Silay, M., *Hatay'da Sosyo-Kültürel Çevre* (Antakya, Hatay Yayınları, 1994).
- Skalieris, G. K., *Λαοί και φυλαί της Μικράς Ασίας. Μετά Πινάκων και Χαρτών* (Athens, 1922, reprinted 1990 and 1995).
- Skopetea, E., *Το 'Πρότυπο βασίλειο' και η Μεγάλη Ιδέα. Όψεις του εθνικού προβλήματος στην Ελλάδα (1830–1880)* (Athens, Politipo, 1988).
- Smith, A. D., 'The origins of nations', *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 12, no. 3, 1989, pp. 349–56.
- The ethnic origin of nations* (Oxford, Blackwell, 1986).

REFERENCES

- Smith, M. L., *Ionian vision: Greece in Asia Minor 1919-1922* (London, Hurst, 1973; also Ann Arbor, MI, University of Michigan Press, 1999).
- Smyrnelis, M.-C., *Smyrne, la ville oubliée? Mémoires d'un grand port ottoman, 1830-1930* (Paris, Éditions Autrement, 2006).
- Snegarov, I., *Istorija na Ohridskata Arhiepiskopija-Patriarsija od padaneto i pod turcite do nejnoto unistozenie (1394-1767 g.)* (Sofia, 1934).
- Solomonidis, C., *Η παιδεία στη Σμύρνη* (Athens, 1961).
- Somers, M., 'The narrative constitution of identity: a relational and network approach', *Theory and Society*, vol. 23, no. 5, 1994, pp. 605-49.
- Souris, G., 'Σύννορα', *Mi Hanesai*, vol. 343, no. 3, 22 September 1882.
- Soutsos, P., *Λόγος επιτάφιος εκφωνηθείς επί του τάφου του Ιωάννου Κωλέττου* (Athens, Doukas, 1847).
- Stamatoroulos, D., 'Η Μικρασιατική Εκστρατεία και η ανθρωπογεωγραφία της καταστροφής: από την μετανάστευση στην προσφυγιά', in E. Gazi and A. Liakos (eds) *Προβλήματα της Νεοελληνικής Ιστορίας* (Athens, forthcoming).
- Stavrianos, L. S., *The Balkans since 1453* (New York, Rinehart & Company, 1958).
- Stavridi-Patrikiou, R., 'Ο Ρήγας και η χρήση των συμβόλων', *Kathimerini. Epta Imeres*, 22 March 1998.
- Stavridis, V., *Oi Oikoumenikoi Patriarhai (1860-simeron)*, vol. 1 (Thessaloniki, 1977).
- Stefanidis, I. D., *Stirring the Greek nation: political culture, irredentism and anti-Americanism in post-war Greece, 1945-1967* (Aldershot, Ashgate, 2007).
- Stoianovitch, T., 'Conquering Balkan orthodox merchant', *Journal of Economic History*, vol. 20, 1960, pp. 234-313.
- 'Ο kataktitis Orthodoxos Valkanios emporos', in S. Asdrachas (ed.) *I oikonomiki domi ton Valkanikon horon (IE-ITH aionas)* (Athens, 1978).
- Stokes, M., 'Imagining "the south": hybridity, heterotopias and arabesk on the Turkish-Syrian border', in T. Wilson and H. Donnan (eds) *Border identities* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1998) pp. 263-87.
- Stone, F. A., 'Rural vitalization and the village institutes in Turkey: sponsors and critiques', *Comparative Education Review*, vol. 18, no. 3, 1974, pp. 419-29.
- Svolopoulos, C., *Η ελληνική εξωτερική πολιτική, 1900-1945* (Athens, Estia, 2000, first published 1992).

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- Svoronos, N., 'Études sur l'organisation intérieure, la société et l'économie de l'Empire Byzantin', *Variorum Reprints* (London, 1973).
Ανάλεκτα νεοελληνικής Ιστορίας και ιστοριογραφίας (Athens, Themelio, 1982).
Επισκόπηση της νεοελληνικής Ιστορίας (Athens, Themelio, 1999, first published 1972).
- Syngros, A., *Απομνημονεύματα*, edited by A. Angelou and M. C. Chatziioannou (Athens, Estia, 1998).
- Takaki, H., 'The Meiji restoration and the revival of ancient culture', in Tsu Y. H., J. van Bremen and E. Ben-Ari (eds) *Perspectives on social memory in Japan* (Folkstone, Global Oriental) pp. 134–47.
- Tekeli, I., 'On institutionalized external relations of cities in the Ottoman Empire: a settlement model approach', *Études Balkaniques*, vol. 8, no. 2, Paris, 1972.
- Tekin, M., *Hatay Tarihi* (Ankara, Atatürk Külür Merkezi, 2000).
- Theodorescu, R., *Roumains et balkaniques dans la civilisation sud-est européenne* (Bucharest, Editura Enciclopedică, 1999).
- Theodoulou, G., *The Origins and evolution of church-state relations in Cyprus with special reference to the modern era* (Nicosia, Kailas Printers and Lithographers Ltd., 2005).
- Tilly, C., 'States and nationalism in Europe, 1492–1992 (in Symposium on Nationalism and War)', *Theory and Society*, vol. 23, no. 1, 1994, pp. 131–46.
- Todev, I., *Balgarsko nacionalno dvizenie v Trakiya 1800–1878* (Sofia, 1995).
- Todorov, N., 'Sur quelques aspects du passage du féodalisme au capitalisme dans les territoires balkaniques de l'Empire Ottoman', *Revue des Etudes Sud-Est européennes*, vol. 1, nos 1–2, 1963, pp. 103–36.
- Todorova, M., *Imagining the Balkans* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1997).
- Tolias, G., 'Totius Graecia: Nikolaos Sophianos's map of Greece and the transformations of Hellenism', *Journal of Modern Greek Studies*, vol. 19, no. 1, 2001, pp. 1–22.
- Tolias, G., 'Οι χάρτες του Ρήγα: Τα Βαλκάνια, η ευρύχωρη Ελλάδα των Φαναριωτών', *Kathimerini. Epta Imeres*, 22 March 1998.
- Topçu, N., *Milliyetçiliğimizin Esasları* (İstanbul, Dergah, 1978).
Ahlak Nizamı (İstanbul, Dergah Yayınları, 1999).
Türkiye'nin Maarif Davası (İstanbul, Dergah Yayınları, 1999).
Yarınki Türkiye (İstanbul, Dergah, 1999).
- Toynbee, A. J., *The Western question in Greece and Turkey* (New York,

REFERENCES

- 1970).
- Trifonov, S., *Antantata v Trakiya 1919-1920* (Sofia, 1989).
- Trouillot, M.-R., *Silencing the past: power and the production of history* (Boston, MA, Beacon Press, 1995).
- Tsiomis, Y., 'Gestion spatiale et idéologies en Grèce, plat pays selon le décret du 29 avril 1834', *Economies méditerranéennes: équilibres et intercommunications XIIIe-XIXe siècles*, Actes du IIe colloque international d'histoire, vol. 3, Athens, 1986.
- 'Athènes 1833: la guerre pour la capitale de l'état-nation', *Études Balkaniques*, 12, Cahiers Pierre Belon, Paris, 2005.
- Tsitselikis, K., *Old and new Islam in Greece: from minorities to immigrant newcomers* (forthcoming).
- Tsitselikis, K. and D. Chrystopoulos, 'Από το πολυπολιτισμικό "μέγα όνειρον του Ελληνισμού" των αρχών του 20ου αιώνα στην "πολυπολιτισμική πραγματικότητα" των αρχών του 21ου αιώνα', in D. Chrystopoulos (ed.) *Το ανομολόγητο ζήτημα των μειονοτήτων* (Athens, 2008), pp. 33-67.
- Tugal, C., 'NATO's Islamists', *New Left Review*, vol. 44, March-April 2007.
- Turgay, Ü., 'Trabzon', in Ç. Keyder, E. Özveren and D. Quataert (eds) *Doğu Akdeniz'de Liman Kentleri, 1800-1914* (İstanbul, Tarih Vakfı Yurt Yayınları, 1993) pp. 45-74.
- Turgot, A. R., 'Foire', in M. Diderot and M. d'Alembert (eds) *Encyclopédie ou Dictionnaire raisonné de Sciences, des Arts et des métiers*, vol. 7, 1757, pp. 39-41.
- Tziouvas, D., 'Heteroglossia and the defeat of regionalism in Greece', Κάμπος, *Cambridge Papers in Modern Greek*, vol. 2, 1994, pp. 95-120.
- Ülken, H. Z., *Türkiye'de çağdaş Düşünce Tarihi* (İstanbul, Ülken Yayınları, 1994, 4. Baskı).
- Üstel, F., *İmparatorluktan Ulus-Devlete Türk Milliyetçiliği: Türk Ocakları, 1912-1931* (İstanbul, İletişim Yayınları, 1997).
- Vafeidis, N., 'Ekklesiastikai eparhiai tis Thrakis kai o fakellos 434 tis Vivliotikis tis Voulis pei Thrakis', *Arheion tou Thrakikou Laografikou kai Glossikou Thisaurou [ATHLGTH]*, vol. 18, 1953.
- Vakalopoulos, Konstantinos, *Istoria tou Voreiou Ellinismou: Thraki*, (Thessaloniki, Afoi Kyriakidi, 1993).
- 'I Thraki kata tin Anatoliki Krisi (1875-1878) kai to kathestos tis Anatolikis Romylias mehri ton oristiko xerizomo tou Ellinismou (1914)', in Geniki Grammateia Perifereias Anatolikis Makedonias-Thrakis (ed.) *Thraki* (1994)

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- Varouxakis, G., “Φαντασιακές κοινότητες” και Μεγάλη Ιδέα. Μια συμβολή του Ν.Γ. Πολίτη’, *Mnemon*, vol. 13, 1991, pp. 197–214.
- Vatikiotis, K., ‘Exotrikon emporion Adrianoupoleos’, *Oikonomiki Epiteiorisis* (Athens) vol. 3, no. 28, June 1875.
- Vanouskos, K. A., *Η Μεγάλη Ιδέα ως ιδέα και πραγματικότητας. Πανεγυρικός λόγος εκφωνηθείς εις την αίθουσαν τελετών της Εταιρείας Μακεδονικών σπουδών την 22αν Μαρτίου 1970 επί τη εθνική εορτή της κρατικής παλιγγενεσίας* (Thessaloniki, Eteria Makedonikon Spoudon, 1970).
- Veremis, T., ‘From national state to stateless nation, 1821–1910’, in M. Blinkhorn and T. Veremis (eds) *Modern Greece: nationalism and nationality* (Athens, Sage-Eliaemper, 1990).
- Vermeulen, H. F. and A. A. Roldan (eds) *Fieldwork and footnotes: studies in the history of European anthropology* (London, Routledge, 1995).
- Vidal Naquet, P., *La démocratie grecque vue d’ailleurs* (Paris, Flammarion, 1996).
- L’Atlantide: petite histoire d’un mythe platonicien* (Paris, Les Belles Lettres, 2005).
- Vikelas, D., *Η σύστασις του Ελληνικού Βασιλείου και τα όρια αυτού από της εν Λάυμπαχ μέχρι της εν Βερολίνω συνόδου. Μελέτη ιστορική* (Athens, Estia, 1887).
- Vokos, G., *Θέατρον. Η Κατοχή, το Εικοσιένα, η Μεγάλη Ιδέα* (Athens, 1909).
- Volkan, V. D., *Cyprus - war and adaptation: a psychoanalytic history of two ethnic groups in conflict* (Charlottesville, University Press of Virginia, 1979).
- Volonakis, M. D., *Η Μεγάλη Εθνική Ιδέα των Ελλήνων ανά τους αιώνας. Λόγος εκφωνηθείς εντολή της Συγκλήτου εν τη αιθούση των τελετών του Πανεπιστημίου τη 25η Μαρτίου 1936 κατά τον επίσημον πανηγυρισμόν της εθνικής εορτής* (Athens, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 1936).
- von Maurer, G. L., *Das Griechische Volk in öffentlicher kirchlicher und privat-Rechtlicher Beziehung von und nach dem Freiheitskampfe bis zum 31 Juli 1834 von ...* (Heidelberg, Akademischen Buchhandlung, J.C.B. Mohr, 1835).
- Vouri, S., *Εκπαιδεύσι και ethnikismos sta Valkania. I periptosi tis Voreiodytiki Makedonias 1870-1904* (Athens, 1992).
- Vovolinis, K., *Μέγα Ελληνικόν Βιογραφικόν Λεξικόν* (Athens, 1962).
- Vranousis, L. I., *Ρήγας Βελεστινλής 1757–1798* (Athens, Syllogos pros

REFERENCES

- diadosin ophelimon vivlion, 1996, first published 1963).
- Vryonis, S., *The mechanism of catastrophe: the Turkish pogrom of September 6-7, 1955, and the destruction of the Greek community of Istanbul* (New York, 2005).
- Watenpaugh, K., *Being modern in the Middle East* (Princeton, Princeton University Press, 2006).
- Weber, E., *Peasants to Frenchmen: the modernization of rural France*, (Stanford, Stanford University Press, 1976).
- Wegner, P., *Imaginary communities: utopia, the nation, and the spatial histories of modernity* (London, University of California Press, 2002).
- Weiner, J., *The empty place: poetry, space, and being among the Foi of Papua New Guinea* (Bloomington, Indiana University Press, 1991).
- Weizman, E., *Hollow land: Israel's architecture of occupation* (London, Verso, 2007).
- Werfel, F., *Forty days of Musa Dagh* (New York, The Viking Press, 1934).
- Wilkinson, H. R., *Maps and politics: a review of the ethnographic cartography of Macedonia* (Liverpool, Liverpool University Press, 1951).
- Winichakul, T., *Siam mapped: a history of the geo-body of a nation* (Honolulu, University of Hawai'i Press, 1994).
- Siam mapped: a history of the geo-body of a nation* (Honolulu, University of Hawaii Press, 1994).
- Woloch, I., *The new regime: transformation of the French civic order, 1789-1820s* (New York, W.W. Norton & Company, 1994).
- Woodhouse, C. M., *Modern Greece: a short history*, 5th edn (London, Faber & Faber, 1998).
- Yalçın, S., 'Baş Davası Ahlak olan Müslüman Sosyalist Nurettin Topçu', *Hürriyet*, 11 May 2008.
- Yalman, N., 'Simple structures in complex systems: the case of eastern Turkey', in Proceedings of the Eighth International Congress of Anthropological and Ethnological Sciences, vol. 3, *Ethnology and Archaeology*, Science Council of Japan, 1968.
- 'Islamic reform and the mystic tradition in eastern Turkey', *Archives européennes de sociologie*, vol. 10, 1969, pp. 41-60.
- 'On land disputes in eastern Turkey', in G. Tikku (ed.) *Islam and its cultural divergence* (Urbana, University of Illinois Press, 1971).
- Yeğen, M., 'The Kurdish question in Turkish state discourse', *Journal of Contemporary History*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 555-68.
- Yerasimos, S., 'İskenderun Sancağı: Bir toprak parçası oluşturma ve

SPATIAL CONCEPTIONS OF THE NATION

- bütünleştirme süreci', *Milliyetler ve Sınırlar* (İstanbul, İletişim, 1994) pp. 175–200.
- Milliyetler ve Sınırlar: Balkanlar, Kafkasya ve Orta-Doğu* (İstanbul, İletişim Yayınları, 1995).
- Kurtuluş Savaşında Türk-Sovyet İlişkileri: 1917–1923* (İstanbul, Boyut Yayıncılık, AS, 2000).
- Yerolympos, A., 'XIX. Yüzyıl sonunda Selanik'te kentlilik bilinci ve belediye ile ilgili' (Urban consciousness and municipality in Salonica at the end of the nineteenth century), in F. Georgeon and P. Dumont (eds) *Osmanlı İmparatorluğu'nda Yaşamak* (İstanbul, İletişim, 2000) pp. 147–74.
- Zakythinos, D. A., 'Η ενότης της ελληνικής ιστορικής παραδόσεως', extract from *Nea Estia* (Athens, 1945).
- Zukin, S., *The cultures of cities* (Cambridge, Blackwell Publishers, 1995).
- Zürcher, E. J., *Turkey: a modern history* (London, I.B.Tauris & Co Ltd, 1999, first edition 1993).

Notes on the Contributors

Georgios Agelopoulos is Assistant Professor at the University of Macedonia, Thessaloniki. He studied sociology and social anthropology (Ph.D. Cambridge, 1994) and his research interests focus on ethnicity and nationalism, migration and Balkan ethnography.

Tassos Anastasiadis is a researcher at the French School in Athens. He studied in the United States and France and completed his Ph.D. at Sciences-Po, Paris in 2006. He specializes on questions of militancy and institutional reform in the religious field, interconfessional relations and the politics and economics of religion in the eastern Mediterranean.

M. Asim Karaömerlioğlu is associate professor and assistant director of the Atatürk Institute for Modern Turkish History at Boğazici University. He received his Ph.D. at the Ohio State University in 1998 and currently teaches modern Turkish and Russian history, social theory and historiography.

Reşat Kasaba is Professor of International Studies at the University of Washington in Seattle. He is the author and editor of several books and articles dealing with the Ottoman Empire, Turkey and the Middle East. His most recent publications are *A Moveable Empire: Ottoman Nomads, Migrants, and Refugees* (2009), and (as editor) *Cambridge History of Modern Turkey*, vol 4 (2008).

Alexander Kazamias is Senior Lecturer in Politics at Coventry University. He holds a Ph.D. from the School of Slavonic and East European Studies, University of London, and has taught Modern Greek history at the University of Oxford. In 2005 he was Visiting Research Fellow at Princeton University.

Yonca Köksal is Assistant Professor in History at Koç University, Istanbul. She completed her Ph.D. in sociology at Columbia University in 2002. She has published several articles and a book on local networks and state-society relations in the nineteenth-century Ottoman Empire, and minorities in Bulgaria.

Paraskevas Konortas is Associate Professor in Ottoman History at Athens University, Greece. He holds a Ph.D. in history from the Sorbonne University,